



Ahmwed. S. Charlin Black.

BOSTON UNIVERSITY

GRADUATE SCHOOL

THESIS
SHAKESPEARE'S FOOLS

Submitted by

EDITH JARVIS CLARK

(A. B., Boston University, 1912)

In partial fulfilment of requirements for the degree of Master of Arts 1914 Shakespeare - Characters

ASSESS ELVESTER POSSOR

CUOCA SIBERT

Substited by Control of Advisor (A. B., Boston University, 1912)

not elecalized to resulting things of

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

- Armin, Robert "A Nest of Ninnies" (written 1608) _ see Collier.
- Boas, Frederick S. "Shakespere and His Predisessors" Scribner's New York 1910.
- Collier, J. P. "Fools and Jesters" and reprint of "Nest of Ninnies" printed for the Shakespeare Society, 1842.
- Douce, Francis "Illustrations of Shakespeare" Logman, Hurst, Rees, & Orme-London, 1807.
- Dowden, Edward "Shakespeare's Mind and Art" E. P. Dutton NewYork 191-? (3rd ed.)
- Gervinus Commentaries, trans, by F. E. Bunnett-Smith, Elder & Co. London, 1883.
- Hayn, Alfons "Uber Shakespeares Narren" F. W. Gebauer, Konitz, 1880.
- Hudson, Rev. H. N., LLD. "Shakespeare; His Life," etc.-Ginn & Co. Boston, 1904.
- Manly, John Matthews "Specimens of Pre-Shakespearian Drama Ginn & Co., Boston, 1897.
- Ward, Adolph W. "History of English Dramatic Literature"-Mac Millan & Co. - Landon, 1875.
- Warner, Beverley "Famous Introductions to Shakespeare's Plays" Dodd, Mead & Co., New York, 1906.
- Weiss, John "Wit, Humor, and Shakespeare" Robert Bros. Boston, 1876.

PERIODICALS - (Numbers of volumes as in Poole's Index)

- Dillon, Hon. Harold, F. S. A. "Of the Fool", etc. Antiquary n.s. 21, p. 189.
- Hammond, Eleanor P. "Shakespeare's Fools" Atlantic 106, p. 90 Hodell, C. P. "Shakespeare's Clownery" Poet-Lore 17, 4,p.113 Nason, A. H. "Shakespeare's Use of Comedy in Tragedy" -
- Sewanee 14, p. 28.
 Scholderer, Victor "Development of Shakespeare's Fools"-Library N, s. 10, p. 201.
- Slicer, T. R. "Fools of Shakespeare" New England Magazine n. s. 12, p. 394.
- Townsend, Walter "Clown in Twelfth Night" Canadian Magazine 4, p. 59.

.YHTARAGILDIS

Boss, Frederick S. - "Shekespare und His Frediessors" -(.ia bys) ?-[of synTwel Wed Willen & Go. - Landon, 1976. "Strop. Evrley - "Famous introductions to Shekeseper's Flays" Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2016 Hammond, Dleanor R. - "Shakespente's Fools" - Atlantic 106. r. Kodell, C. P. - "Shakespente's linvnent" - Foot-Lore 17. d.r.d Vason, A. H. - "Shakespente's dec of Coredy in Lordon's

- A. Introduction The domestic fool of Shakespeare's day -Will Summers and others. Sketch of the history of the custom, and the position and characteristics of the fool.
- B. Shakespeare's fools. I. Origin.

a. "Vice theory". Fundamental differences between the Vice and Shakespeare's Fool: illustrations of the Vice theory by Professor Ward and Professor Hudson -Ambidexter, Subtle Shift, Diccon, Dericke, Miles, Nano,

Babulo; Merrygreek, Cacurgus, Whetstone.
b. Influence of the "stultus". "Pausa, et Stultus loquitur" arrangement in Marlowe and other dramatists.

c. Shakespeare's Fools as a combination of all three elements - domestic jester, Vice, and stultus.

II. Distinction between "clown" and "fool".

a. Hayn's definition unreliable in practice. b. Idiocy in the "fool" - Townsend's article.

III. The Fools in the plays. Division into three groups. First group (earliest and latest plays) conventional, the center of a subordinate episode rather than related closely to the main plot, and showing a weakness for the humorous monologue. Second group, "climax as buffoon, critic, and man of the world"; keynote of the plot. Third group, the tragic Fools; use of comedy as a vital and interpretative part of the main emotional crisis". "Lear's Fool is the final achievement."

a. First group - Costard, the Dromios, Speed and Launce, Peter, Grumio, Lavache; Antolycus, Trinculo. b. Second group - (influence of Falstaff), Launce-

lot Gobbo, Touchstone, Feste.

c. Third group - Pompey, Boult, Clowns in Othello and in Antony and Cleopatra, Yorick, Thersites, Apemantus, Lear's Fool.

C. Conclusion - The passing of the motley fool, but the significance and lasting influence of Shakespeare's humorous ideals: humor as a reforming power.

A. Introduction - The domestic fool of Shakespears's day - ent the Summers and others. Sketch of the history of the contous. Ouetom, and the position and characteristics of the fool.

B. Shakespeare's fools.

I. Origin.

a. "Vice theory". Fundamental differences between the Vice and Shakespeare's Fool; didustrations of the Vice theory by Professor Ward and Professor Hudson -Ambidexter, Subtle Shift, Diacon, Dericke, Miles, Mann, Pebulo; Merrygreek, Cacurrue, Whetstone. b. Influence of the "stultur". "Pausa, et Stul

tus loquitur" . "rate and to a local and other industration to the local and other industration of all and to the continue of a local and the continue of the local and th

three elements - Nementla jester, Vice, and stultus.

a. Hayn's definition warelished in practice. b. Idloc, in the "foot - Townserd's entitle.

III. The fools in the playe. Division into three groupe. Pinet enoug (sarliest and lutest playe) conventional, the center of a subordinate entsode rather than related olosely to the main plot, and showing a weather for the humorous monolosus. Second proup. "climax as buffoon, or"; and man of the world": keynote of the plot. Third crown, the trante rools; use of comedy as a will I and interrrete tive part of the main emotional crisis". "lear's Jool is the final achievement."

a. First group - Costard, the Dromics, Speed and Lawres, Peter, Crumic, Davache: Antolyous, Trinculo.

b. Second group - influence of Faletaff); Laurocate Costar C

lot Gollo, Toughetone, Peste.

and in Antony and Gleopatra, Torick, Thersiter, Apprantus, Lear's Fool.

O. Conclusion - The passing of the motley fool, but the signification and lasting influence of Shakenreams's humanous ideals; human as a reforming power.

SHAKESPEARE'S FOOLS.

Douce II p.318 "Harry, will you lend me ten pounds?"
King Henry the Eighth smiled indulgently, although a
bit nonplused by the amount. The wants of this favorite
fool of his were usually simple - "coate and cappe of
green clothe with a hoode to the same, fringed with red
crule and lyned with fryse" would last him a year or more and his life was as sheltered as that of one of the king's
own family. "What to do?" he asked.

"Marry to pay off some of the cardinal's creditors," quoth he, "who have been hounding me to go bond for their

money.

Cardinal Wolsey, none too fond of this keen - sighted jester, "denied indignantly; but in the end the fool gained his point, saying "If I pay the ten pounds not where thou owest it, I'll give twenty for it." Then he gave the money to the poor at the palace gate, and justified the "debt" so as to draw a laugh from all, except perhaps the

smarting cardinal.

This was Will Sommers (Somer, Somar, Summers, or what you will), one of the most famous of a long line of court fools and jesters whose origin is lost in antiquity. Tradition has it that Democritus acted in the capacity of professional fool at the court of Darius the Persian; and the custom was probably one of the classical survivals in the Middle Ages in Europe. Douce says "there is reason to think that there were court fools in England during the Saxon period," a theory which Tennyson has followed in giving King Arthur a fool, Dagonet, who satirized his enemies and clung to him even in his last hour of defeat. "Saying

II p.306

"Nest of

p. 46

Ninnies",

"Last Tournament"

'What art thou?' - and the voice about his feet Sent up an answer, sobbing, 'I am thy fool, And I shall never make thee smile again'."

Undisputable, at least, is the fact that from 1066 onward there were fools in England, according to the European
custom, for definite stories of their jests and deeds exist.
William the Conqueror, when Duke of Normandy, once owed his
life to the vigilance of his fool Goles. The ancient
"Joculator", "scurra", or "mimus" was the holder of what
were strictly two offices - that of buffoon and that of
minstrel- but by the eleventh century the two duties were
separated, and the fool was pure fool. He was dressed in a
motley coat, with a girdle, and hells at the skirts and
elbows; Douce, who is the first authority on the subject,

Wace"Roman es ducs de Aormandie" MS Reg. 4, C XI.

II p. 317

Potted I.E. D.E.

Mine menty the Eighth swiled indulmently, although a Mine menty the Eighth swiles in a second at the morphuses of the morphuses of the ment in a second country of the mane, fringed with a boods to the mane, fringed with rope or the sould lost him a past or worse and his life was as sheltered as that of one of the line or the line.

"Manny to pay off wome of the cardinal's orelicers,"
quotin he, "who have been bounding me to nond for their

* #

Cardinal Wolse, tono ton ford of this year - sighted jester, "denied indignantly: but in the end the fool yeared has point, espiny "If I pay the ten rounds not where they owest it, I'll give twenty for it." Then he gave the money to the poor at the paire pairs, and juntified the "dent" so as to draw a laurh from all, except bernapp the emerting dendingly.

"Mest of" "Immies", "A se se

This was Will Sommens (Somer, Somer, Summens, or what you will), one of the most famous of a lowe line of court fools and jesters whose origin is lost in antiquity. The dition has it that Democritus acted in the careafty of professional fool at the court of Derive the Careans and the coustoms was probably one of the classical survivate to the Widdle Area, to lurous asys "there is reason to think that there were court fools in Empired during the Land that the Parelson has followed in fiving Ming Arbbur a fool, Deponet, who estimized his smalles and clumg to him even in his last hour of defeat.

ana.g M

"What are thous?" - and the voice about his feet Sent up an answer, sobblan, 'I as thy feel, And I shall never trace these amile arein'." "Last Tour-

Undisputable, at least, is the fact that from 10sE onward there were foris in England, necessing to the European
ouetom, for Sefinite atories of their jests and deeds evist
"illian the Commuteror, when Duke of Johnsondy, once oved his
life to the vigilance of his fool Goles. The apotent
"Joculator", "course", or "rings" was the holler of what
"encelator", "course", or "rings" was the holler of what
itseired, but by the sleventh century the two duties were
separately and the field was pure fool. Is we drawed in a
moties oosi, with a mirrie, and Felit at the entre and
elfors; Douce, who is the first auchority on the onlybeat.

Ten-Proman

Auda de

Auda de

Barana de

Auda de

TEE 11

thinks that the hood was originally designed to imitate a monk's cowl; it was ornamented with "Ass's ears, or, usually, a cock's head and comb." Our fool carried a large purse or wallet, and a "bauble" representing a fool's head: also a weapon of some sort - either a bladder on a stick, or a rattle, or a wooden dagger. A petticoat was worn sometimes by the genuine idiot, but probably seldom or never by the witty or "artificial" fool, Feste's "I will impeticos thy gratuity" to the contrary. Yellow was the fool's color: but Will Sommers appears to have worn red and green. There was no fixed custom. One other interesting detail is noticeable in some of Douce's old prints of fools - a front tooth is often blacked out, a method still

in vogue of giving grotesque effects.

Twelfth Night I, 5

Twelfth

Night

II. 3

The privileges and immunities of the "allowed fool" were everywhere acknowledged. Freedom of speech was his to an extent illustrated by the stinging rebuke of Will Sommers to Cardinal Wolsey, and by the other tales of bold repartee even to Queen Elizabeth herself. Of course, he had to run the risk of incurring a whipping if his audacity became too unbounded, or happened to fit ill with his master's mood; but in general his satirical banter was unchecked, and king, queen, bishop or cardinal were all grist II. P311 for his mill. An often-quoted passage from Lodge's "Wit's

Douce

Miserie "(1599) gives a vigorous picture of the "immoderate and disorderly joy " that was the province of the professional fool, and we may imagine that restraint and whipping were far from common. Triboulet, a famous fool owned by

Dillon P 189

François I, once complained that a certain noble had threatened to have him beaten to death. The king said, "If he does, he shall be hanged within ten minutes afterwards." "Ah, Sire," said Triboulet, "won't you make it ten minutes before!"

Such, then, were the domestic jesters as Shakespeare was familiar with them. The jester was one of the common figures of court or city life in Shakespeare's London not only in the household of royalty and nobility, but in the shop, attached to the tavern menage or to the city corporation, and, above all, on the stage, where the common people went for the wholesome ridicule that the nobles had in private - the fool was everywhere. *

P 374

* The inscription on the title-page of Armin's "Nest of Ninnies", - "Stultorum plena sunt omnia", - testifies to the sweep of the fool's popularity; as does that of a contemporary French work, "-Numerus stultorum infinitus est." whinks what the bood are cripledly declared to imitate a monk's cowl; it was are cripted with "age's ears, or, usually, a sock's best and tool." Our fool carried a large pures or wallet, and a "bauble" representing a "out's hand: also a serie a ort a weaton of some port - either a ladder on a white or a wooden damen. A petitional was worn sometimes by the senuture idint, but probably relign or newsor by the wisty or "artificial" fool, Pesto's "I will impations thy graduity" to the contrary. Tellow was the fool's solor; but Will Sommers areased to have worn red and green. There was no fixed dustor. One other interesting lets! I is noticeable in some of Douca's old prints of fools - a front tooth is often blacked out, a method atil

The privileges and immunities of the "allowed fool" ware everywhere somewheated. Theeder of speech was his to an extent illustrated by the attrains rebute of viil borners to cardinal Wolsey, and by the other tales of bold may to cardinal Wolsey, and by the other tales of bold reparts of ourse alliance a whipping if his abdantity became too unbounded, or happened to fit ill with his master's mond; but in general his satiriand beater was uncreased, and size, queen, itshop on cardinal were all wister of the mill. An oftental baskers from longer "Tit's wards "In his mill. An oftental baskers from longer "Tit's and disorderly to "the the province of the professional fool, and we may inguine that restraint and anipping size from bounds. Triboulat, a famous fool owned by ward for have of the heatest that a cardin roble had increased oned to have of a bask in action to death. The kine card, "In heater that a cardin roble had increased oned to have of a bask of the heater that a cardin roble had increased oned to have of a bask of the death. The kine card, "In heater, was in the minutes afterwards."

"An, then," asid Triboulet, "won't you rake it has minutes and the minutes and card," "An, then," asid Triboulet, "won't you rake it has minutes and card," "An, then," asid Triboulet, "won't you rake it has minutes and minutes an

Such, then, were the domestic jesters as thakespeare sea that liar with them. The jester was one of the common figures of court or city life in Shakespeare's London - not only in the household of royalty and noitlift. But in the shop, attached to the tavern menage or to the city court court on and, above all, on the stage, where the court neeple went for the wholesome ridicule that the noites had in private - the fool was everywhere.

"The inscription on the title-maps of Armin's "lest
of Minnies".-"Ebuiterum tiens sunt ommis".- testifies to
the eveen of the fori's popularity; so does that of a
contemporary French work."-Numerus stultowem infinitus cet."

Slicer, in the New England Magazine, notices three characteristics of the thought of the time respecting fools, which it behooves us to keep in mind: first, there was a recognized connection between madness and inspiration; second, bodily and mental deformity was universally considered fit food for mirth; third, the prevailing idea of wit seems to have been simply repartee, often of the emptiest sort. pollever bandying of words was sure to raise a laugh, all the more so if a pun happened to be a trifle on the shady side of respectability.

This brings us, then, to the first question that we must seriously consider: Where did Shakespeare get his

Fools?

We have comparatively full information as to most of the Poet's sources; we know pretty well what material he had to his hand, and we know that in general it was the existing material that he utilized - for he never seemed to hanker after the fame of an originator or inventor of anything in the literary line. He contented himself with doing everything better than anyone else had ever done it. And this is just what he did with his fools; but those glorious fools of his are so very very far ahead of any previous fools, that they come near to being an innovation of

Shakespeare's own, after all.

There is a well-grounded theory that the Fool in Shakespeare is a "direct descendant" of the "Vice" of the old Moralities. The longer I have considered this statement, the less significant it has seemed to me. The stock low-comedy character on the stage must, of course, retain certain points of similarity from one age to another; but I cannot seem to find any argument for the "Vice" genealogy that much over-reaches that simple fact - a fact which applied no more to the "Vice" than to the yet older comic characters, such as the Devil in the Miracles. On the other hand, a comparison of the Shakespearian fool with the Vice reveals significant differences, the most noticeable of which is the difference in the functions of the two. The Vice in the true Morality is, first of all, the dramatic antagonist. He takes the place of the older Devil, whom he first followed onto the stage, then pestered with all possible irritating torments, and finally drove completely off the boards: and he inherits not only the comic function but also the dramatic importance of his predecessor. Shakespeare's fools, it is hardly necessary to point out, partake in no degree of this antagonistic significance; they represent in no case the forces working against the hero, or the good; and in the cases where the fool is anything more than purely comic, his relations with the plotcharacters are such as to suggest the common domestic jester rather than the Vice. The second important difference Between the Vice and Shakespeare's fool is that there is never any idea of idiocy connected with the former, while some flavor of wit-wandering is often, if not usually, implied in the latter. This seems an influence not only from the domestic fools of the time but also from the "stultus" of the stage, of which I will speak a little

glicer, is the Yew Precised Passine, intices three charinteriation of the thought of the time respecting fords,
which it becomes us as seep in mind: first, there was a
recognized content between medices and inspiration:
second, heddily and remail deformity was universally conatoured filt ford for mirth: third, the troughline idea of
wit means to have less which topystice, often of the emptiest holds. Glever hereigher of thriff was stre to rrise a
lanch, all the more so if a run happened to be a triffe or

This brings us, then; to the first question that we must seriously consider: Where 414 Shavesterns rot his

Palool

We have comparatively full information as to meet of the Spet's sources we know protity well what material be red to his band, and we know that in someral it men the extation material that he utilised - for he never sushed to him in the literary line. Is contented theself with define everything better than anyone case had ever done it.

And this is just what he did with his fools: but those michaes from a first wint the distance of the stance of the second of

low-comedy character on the stage must, of course, retein certain points of eighter; but which is the of Terance in the functions of the two. onerellit theiront from our rat and the rent difference

4.

later. These two differences, then, are such as to halt for a moment the advance of the "Vice theory", while a few of the details supposed to support it may be examined.

Professor Ward and Doctor Hudson both seem to view the history of English drama with one eye upon the "Vice theory". Let us look first at the plays and characters which Ward points out as marking the family history that, according to the best-approved principles of eugenics, began with a Vice and ended with a Fool! I think I have a full list of the references made in his "History of English Dramatic Literature" to this particular development.

The first is a direct parallel between Antolycus, the buffoon of the "Winter's Tale", and Ambidexter, the Vice of "King Cambyses" a transition-play between the moralities and the historical tragedies. Ambidexter has an encounter with two rustics named Hob and Lob, in which he cleverly sets them fighting with each other over his head; the scene irresistibly recalls Antolycus's meeting with the two peasants, and a comparison of the two is perfectly natural. Ward is even justified in his remark that "Antolycus is a genuine descendant of the Vice" - but that fact is far from sufficient basis for a generalisation. Tounsend says, and with reason, that the satirical buffoonery of Feste belongs to the same family with Aristophanes and Rabelais; but what could be more absurd than to give the resemblance more than its face value?

An obscure play of Peele's named "Sir Clyomon and Six Clamydes" has "Subtle Shift" as the comic character and Vice of the piece; Ward says "no better illustration of the transition could be found." It is hardly an argument for the clearness of the pedigree, if no better illustration can be found than a play which is no more representative than it was well-known. But why is the combination of the comic function with the Vice a mark of "transition"? We have already seen that this very double nature was the original legacy from the miracle-play Devil.

Diccon in "Gammer Gurton's Needle" is cited as the Vice of the piece; he is a perfect example of the double function, since it is he that causes all the trouble, and thus serves as antagonist. In the same boat is Miles in "Friar Bacon and Friar Bungay", one of Greene's two fools; his striking resemblance to the Vice is in his habit of hanging at the heels of the Devil - for Green's jaunty insouciance will drag even the poor old Devil into his comedies. But Diccon and Miles, together with all the other comic characters reminiscent of the Vice, fail to point forward at the same time to the Fool. They are similar rather to some of Shakespeare's famous rusties and dullards.

Green's other fool, Nano in "James IV", is a companion to Babulo in Chettle's "Patient Grissil", -these two are touchingly faithful followers of masters in exile, and deserve mention for their foreshadowing of Lear's Fool. But meither Nano or Babulo show the least family resemblance to the Vice, so they are of no more value than Diccon or Miles as a link. They seem to be of the domestic jester type.

Of the same domestic type, unrelated to the Vice, is

I p. 112

IV Sc. 3

P. 65

I P. 206

I p. 143

I p. 219

I p. 221

I p. 233

latur. These two differences, then, are such as to halt . Continues and you it trooping on teconomic attaches and to ante, and a companient of the two La newleath, natural. men' wel al tort tedt tod - "enty out to tosimerseb entuces sufficient basis for a secentification. Tousand sage, and with nesson, that the satisfied buildeness of Pants belongs Clamydea" has Discount in "garmer dupton's dealls" to discount reminiscent of the vice. I'll to reint forward at the sure Chekeaneare's famous runties and dullands.

Treen's other fool. Name in "James I", is a communion to stude in Okotale's "Patient Files!", -these two are

I. p 124

Dericke, the clown of the "Famous Victories of Henry V" (before 1588). As a rule, however, the chronicle-histories and the early tragedies had no comic characters at all rather an awkward fact for the Vice genealogy; for where should its "direct descent" he traceable if not in the two types of drama that developed directly from the Moralities?

p. 73-74

Doctor Hudson quotes a passage from Ben Johnson's "Staple of News" in which the Vice is called the Fool, and vet mention is made of his wooden dagger. These marks certainly seem to identify the Vice and the Fool - but which, if either, is the ancestor? Douce says "the domestic fool was sometimes,....called the Vice", and the terms were probably somewhat obscured in colloquial use. It would seem that ignorant country wives would use the more familiar term; and thus the use of the word "Fool" would indicate that the Vice had borrowed the name of the old domestic fool, whose existence in England certainly antedated that of the Vice. An argument based on confusion of terms is, at best, unstable and treacherous.

Hudson calls Matthew Merrygreek the Vice of "Roister Doister"; his part in the plot is undoubtedly that of comic antagonist, and yet he is plainly modelled, not on the old Vice, but on the common classical "parasite". True enough, he does fill the vacant position of a Vice; but this hardly

constitutes relationship.

Again, the drama is invaded by the domestic jester in "Misogonus", a play dating from about 1560, in which Cacurgus is a remarkably fine specimen of stage jester- in fact finished and skilful far beyond many of much later date. But did Cacurgus owe his being or characteristics to the Vice? On the contrary, his origin is betrayed by the fact that "he is usually called, both by himself and others, also Ward, I.14+ Will Summer; as though he were understood to model his action after the celebrated court Fool of Henry the Eighth".

Finally, a reference in Whetstone's "Fromos and Cassandra" (1578), deprecating the dramatic use of "clowns as companions to kings", would seem to hint at a similar

domestic origin for the stage clown.

A slightly different variety of clown is the "stultus", an interesting figure in classical imitations. His ancestry has its roots outside of England - Douce says he "can be traced back to the Greek and Roman theatres"-but his part in the drama is typically expressed in the stage direction, "Pausa; vadant, et stultus loquitur" .* Stultus loquiturand there the playwright's responsibility ends! What won-Hamlet III2 der that comic actors got into the habit of speaking "more

> * "Pause; they go out, and the Fool speaks". This particular quotation is from a Mystery of Saint Barbara, dating from the early Norman period, mentioned, Douce says, in "Mr. Malone's Historical Account of the English Stage".

I p, 89

I p. 90

I p. 97

II p. 306

- The de contract to the contract of the contr

ord solf oil you the aldensort of theoder toach edi blucks

"Etaple of News" in Atab the wine to assist the Josef, and jot mention is rade of his wooden denser. These parts wilds correctely seem to 'actify the Vice and the Fool - rot wilds if either, 'a the accentor? Houce says "lie demant's fool as some impa.....calied the Utes", and the terms work trobable concepts to the college of the News I were It would

resident accombat obsoured in collegetal use. It would not be seen that the college was a seen that the college would not the college was "Vent" would interpret that the was "Vent" would interpret the college was at the college and downered the college which the college was at the college and downered the college and an interpret that of the vice. In angument based on confusion of the time.

Unisted" to enty enty recent the plan "Retator Unit of named Unisted"; his part in the plat is undership that of named attendate, and yet he is platning modeles, and no like on the common clanator "permette". True grounds

onnatitutes reductionable.

Aratin, the drug of the averted by the domestic trater in

"Happennum", a play futing from about 1500, in which factured is a remortably time encourage of stage jetter in the fact finished and ald wol far reyond ramp of which later to late. But did Occurred owe his being or characteristic to the "ice? On the contrary, his origin is beinged by the

rade that 'no to usually nelled, both by hiragid and other-

"distribute of company to love force have relieved and relieved

arthur " in an all of search and an and a search of another and a search of an analysis of another and a search of another and another and a search of a search of

domoatic origin for the stare olem.

eren' unfill des that to live ed into the delica tent of III teles

 district to

09 .T I

OP .T I

ra .g I

IT D. BOL

6

than is set down for them," when improvisation had been demanded of them as coolly as this! Marlowe himself considered comic writing beneath his dignity; for an often-quoted stage direction of his reads "Exit Clown, saying anything". Probably no influence was more potent than that of the "stultus" in divorcing the comic character from the close relation to the plot that was characteristic of the old Vice. This independence from the main plot is, as will be

seen, a trait of Shakespeare's earlier fools.

What shall we say, then of the ancestry of Shakespeare's fools? Simply that they were the result of observation, plus his usual interpretative power; and that their relation to the Vice is merely that of one stock low-comedy character to its predecessor on the stage- not its immediate predecessor, at that. Shakespeare drew his fools from w what he saw with his eyes- that is, from three sources: the domestic or professional jesters, the stage "Vice", and the stage "stultus"; he combined characteristics of all three and made the product his own. He held to nature the mirror of his subtle, vivifying genius that must search the hidden depths even of a poor jester's being, and show him to us as a human soul. He thought no comic speech or scene undeserving of the best efforts of his rare wit; buffoonery, irony, satire, wit, and humor flowed with equal ease from his versatile genius and were kept in harmonious proportion by his keen sense of structure and balance. Surely that glorious line of fools, some with the brilliance of the cleverest Elizabethan punsters, some with the gay recklessness and audacity of Greene's most famous heroes, and the breathtaking resourcefulness of Falstaff, some with a lyrical gift rivalling the greatest poets in England, and some with depths of personality almost as fascinating and unfathomable as Hamlet himself - surely these glorious Fools of Shakespeare are descended from the Vice only as man is descended from the ape!

Before we enter upon the individual study of the gallery of fools, there is one more question that arises for brief discussion. Hayn, with characteristic German scientific accuracy, attends to settling, before he allows himself to leave the first page of his scholarly monograph, the matter of the distinction between the terms "clown" and "fool." Technically, the difference is as Hayn states it -

* Mr. Collier, in his introduction to the "Nest of Ninnies, "says," There can be no doubt that the dramatic clowns and fools in the plays of Shakespeare originated in the common practice of entertaining domestic fools by the nobility and gentry." He later admits the influence of the Vice.

p. 3

then is set down for them," when inconvisation had hear demended of them as doal, as this! Educate idealf considered comic writing beneath his dignity; for an often-doded stars direction of his rends "Exit Blows, saying anything" Probably no influence was more rotest that the of the "stultus" in divorcing the comic character from the alone relation to the slot for twas conventation of the aid Vice. This independence from the main plot is, as will be seen, a truit of Chakespeare's sayilar root.

"Spate shall we say, there of the appearing of Shallanesur's folial time is result in a chest their weeks the result of chastration."

Intion to the structure to the order of the struct levelores that the to the struct of the interior of the atomics of the interior of the atomics of the interior of the structure of the structur

Perore we enter open the individual study of the cullent of Cools, there is one more question that arises for brief disquasion. Hays, with obsessibile German solentific accuracy, attends to retiling, before he slicks himself to leave the first nere of his scholarly monograph, the matter of the distinction between the terms "olgan" end." "Cool." "schmianly, the difference is at Eagn states in-

^{*} Mr. Collier, it his introduction to the "Yest of the Dies. "aye," There can be no doubt that the dramatic elected and cools in the plays of Shakespears originated in the one-mon practice of entertaining domontic forts in the mobility and centry." He later admits the influence of the Vice.

a clown is a rustic, unconsciously humorous, as circumstances make him so; while a fool is a professional, artificially witty, as he makes himself so. But it is a great mistake to read the strict meaning into the use of either word, in Elizabethan, or indeed in modern, English; hence the distinction is valueless. The looseness in the use of the two words is well shown - to bring up just one instance - when Rosalind refers to Touchstone as "the clownish Fool". Not even the most careless reader of the play would charge Touchstone with so much as the faintest touch of rusticity!

As for the fact that "fool" implied genuine idiocy, that usage seems to be far from predominant in Elizabeth's time. Some flavor of madness does seem nevertheless, to be inherent in many Shakespearian fools. In this connection an interesting question is propounded by Townsend, in the Canadian Magazine; " If, as is certain, Shakespeare was well acquainted with the nature and profession of the court or stage jester, why, as is equally certain, does he generally make his other characters consider the Fool really wanting in intellect, or in some way abnormal?" His answer is astonishingly unsatisfactory- he ascribes it to the alleged fact that "in this age of fighting, hard work, and brawn...the idle man who earned his living by his wits was an anomaly; in the Middle ages all eccentricity was labelled as insanity!" Mr. Townsend seems to forget that Shakespeare hirself was an example of a perfectly respectable "idle" man who earned his living unmistakeably by his wits, and was never, so far as we know, suspected of mental aberration. One is reminded of Cicero's maxim that it is better to leave a question frankly unanswered than to resort to a senseless invention to save your own skin. The explanation of Shakespeare's attitude may lie in the ancient status of fools, before London was overrun with the artificial and professional jesters; it may have been more or less conventional to pretend to consider imbecility still a factor. as it formerly had been; for even Elizabethan writers considered it a duty to look back to" the good old days" for ideal conditions. Looking back to "the good old days" began when Adam took up his life outside of the Garden.

I, 3

P.61

"De Natura Deorum", I,30,84.

to read the strict meaning into the use of either out. in Touchatone with me much in the fainteen bound of rustinity! As far the fact that "fool" implied compine idings, that uesne esema to be far from mederdment to discharge time. in the Middle ages will edge the title was labely and no sanity!" Mr. Townsand weem to forest that That Therene hir-HAM "SINT" SHIRTSHIPPON KING "YOU B TO SIGNEED HAS BEEN TION fools, before lorden was everyon with the entitless and tiges! conditions, the day bear to "the good old days" bemen when Adam took un him life outside of the Garden.

F. 61

"De Naturd Deorum", Shakespeare Primer, 1875

Ever since Professor Dowden led the way, Shakesweare criticism has had a tendency to swing into the new wellworn primrose path of tracing, in four or five stages. the development of the poet's mind. The truth and power of such an interpretation of the Poet's work is inexpressibly great; the cycle of dramas, in which the author's changing philosophy is so wonderfully, pathetically, inspiringly reflected, has all the sweep and symmetry of a perfect human life. By studying the imaginary world he has given us, we can come partly to "comprehend the great soul which exercised the wizard imagination in its countless creations," and we see that by the magic spell of personality, this great body of work is a "psychic organism." There is something so impressive about this stupendous self-revelation, in its breadth, its intensity, and, withal, its simplicity, that the feelings with which we contemplate it amount to a kind of awe and reverence.

The study of Shakespeare's humor, and of his fools in particular, has often been outlined on the same basis as that of his works as a whole. The period of his "youthful joveusness" is followed in turn by all the other phases of humor, deepening into irony, then shading into pathetic fantasy, and at the end glowing with the sunset brightness of returned joy in life. Partly for the sake of variety, partly in the interest of greater compactness, I shall treat the fools under a stricter classification, but one which will not, I think, obscure the four or five stage development to the eye of the Shakespeare lover. The fools fall into three groups: the first being those who show a rather conventional treatment, the influence of common stage clownery, and no particular depth or connection with the plot; the second group represents the climax of the fool as "buffoon, critic and man of the world," and usually connected in some vital way with the main plet; while in the third stage we come to the fullest possibilities of humor, developed, by an interpretative relation to the main emotional crisis, into an almost insupportable intensity. The fools of the later Stratford period belong to the first group, and need not be treated apart from it. Lear's Fool is the final achievement.

Scholderer p 203

belongoneous tribates

Scholderer p Sug

Ever since Probessor Davden led the ary Shalespears entities has lad a tendency to swing into the are well-core princess path of the poet's aind. The truth and rewer of the poet's aind. The truth and rewer of such as interpretation of the Poet's work in incurrency that preset: the cycle of damas, in which the author's changing plilosophy is so wenderfully, painstianty.

Inspiritually reflected, has all the sweep and symethy of a implication of the such the sweep and symethy of a law given as, we can come partly to "comprehend the received which exercised the wizerd instination in its scuetages are lies are study of a lies breathy, and reverence and rithely are lies and reverence.

The study of Shalespear's lumor, and or lies for a we contemplate it as our to a blad or are not an are bests at a particular, has a lies for an are such as a lies for a lies for a lies for lies for a lies for an are for an and are lies and an are for lies for an are for lies for an are for an are for lies for a lies for a lies for an are for an are for lies for an are for lies for an are for an are for lies for lies and lies and lies are for an are for lies for an are for lies for lies for an are for lies for lies and are for lies for lies and are for lies and are for lies and are for lies are for lies and are for lies and are for lies for lies are for lies are for lies f

that of his words as a whole. The period of his "vouthful jovensues" is followed to turn by all the other places of howerees, deepening into trong, then stedding into rathetic lumer, deepening into trong, then stadding into rathetic of returned joy in life. Partly for the subset brightness partly in the interest of greater compactness, I shall treat the focia under a sericter classification, but me which will not, I think, obscure the four or five stage which will not, I think, obscure the four or five stage which will not, I think, obscure the four or five stage development to the eye of the Blakeapeare lover. The fools fall into these groups: the first body these she sing a rather conventional treatment, the influence of carron stage elevant was no particular death or connected the second group represents the cities of united to a stage world, and the fool as "buffeed, artist and man at the world," and unding in the third stage or one to the fullest possibility of the third stage or one to the fullest possibility of the third stage of the later ford pariod belance to the first group, and used not be treated apart from the the first group, and used not be treated apart from the first group, and used not be treated apart from the first group, and used not be treated apart from the first group, and used not be treated apart from the first group, and used not be treated apart from the first group, and used not be treated apart from the first group, and used not be treated apart from the first group, and used not be treated apart from the first group, and used not be treated apart from the first group, and used not be treated apart from the first group, and used not be treated apart from the first group and used not be treated apart from the first group and used not be treated apart from the first group and used not be treated apart from the first group and used not be treated apart from the first group and used not group and first group and used not group and first group and used not group and and and and and and

In order to understand the fools of the first group. we must keep in mind the character of the common Elizabethan low comedy. "Shakespeare found the fool coarse, dull, and disreputable;" the attitude of the playwright and litterateur to comic scenes is suggested by the "stultus lequitur" arrangement, and stated unmistakeably by several writers, among them Heywood himself. He justifies clownery in "sad History of and grave histories" by saying that he is obliged to please the less capable," who grow weary of "serious courses, weighty and material". Sir Philip Sidney deprecated the in-"Defense of trusion of low-comedy; it is commonly allowed that Marlowe's "comic stuff", when written at all, was supplied by some crude hand willing to stoop to such work; and we have already seen that the "stultus" ordinarily spoke largely extempore, embroidering his part with songs, jests, and gags "at his discretion" - the discretion usually being conspicuous by its absence. Most of Tarlton's famous jest-book fairly defies quotation- and he was overwhelmingly the favorite comedian of the time. In short, clownery had been a necessary but reluctant concession from the level of art

> to the level of the groundlings- "a sop to the mob". Never before Shakespeare had it been an integral part of the

literary drama.

The fools of the first group, including the earliest and latest plays, have a slight flever of the "stultus" about them, although they are immensely above their predecessors, both as comedians and as artists. The fool is drawn with well-marked individuality eccentric our old friend Douce to the contrary, * but with no particular depth of character or philosophy. He is almost unrelated to the main action, but is the center of a subordinate episode of some kind; he often ridicules or comments on incidents of the plot, and still oftener, acts as an unconscious parody on them, but Hodell says "not one of these can be said to stand out dominantly in his own play." His best speeches are often in the form of monologue, where he gets the audience to himself quite in the manner of the "stultus". His wit is a game of banter and repartee; he is a sophist and what Feste calls a "corrupter of words." Withal, he is an ingenious, spontaneous fun-lover, "speaking an infinite deal of nothing" in a most charming way.

Twelfth NightIII,1

" General

Women"

(1624)

Poesy"

(1595)

Merchant of Venice I.

^{* &}quot; Someone has said that 'Shakespeare has most judiciously varied and discriminated his fools! Without doubting his ability to do so, it remains to be proved that he has- and sometimes his sketches are left so imperfect as to be hard to comprehend." Douce- II p, 299.

we must leep in mind the claracter of the course flissiches leve county. "Shalespewro flowd the fred course, doll, and discounts;" the artitled of the playerisht and litterature to artic sames is suggested by the "subtes longitur" or artic sames is suggested by the "subtes longitur" and stated nowlearly. We justifies clownery in "sad and grave histories" by saying that he is obliged to please and grave histories" by saying that he is obliged to please meighty and exteries, who are weary of "sortous controns the tension of low-consist, the sameonly allowed that hardon tension of low-consist, it is animonly allowed that hardon's tension of low-consist, it is animonly allowed that hardon's contained a starf, when written at all, was supplied by sore contained band willing the store, be such word; and we have allower contained, soon that the "stattes" ordinarily spelt larged we-tension that his discretion that the family degree for the discretion usually total contained by defining the discretion usually total contained the consistence of the the first ordinary larger had seen a contained to the larger and the green from the level of the larger of the larger and the consection from the larger of the larger and the consection of the larger of the free and integral part of the larger drawn.

The "oots of the first group, deciding his earliest and latest clays, have a slight flower of the "studtes" about ther, although they are immersely above their gredeness, but as corodines and as artists. The fact is drawn will well-parted individuality/secondario one oil friend house to the continury, a but with as particular depth of character or philosophy. He is almost newalotes to the such action, but is the center of a subordinate only and are lind; he often ridicales as an engangle of ado of the lat, he often ridicales as an engangle of the late of the standard of the late of the late of the standard of the first of note for all the sample of the late of the "stuttent" his are often in the manner of the "stuttent" his what we calls a sephist and reported the is a sophist and what were calls a "corrunter of words." Without, he is an include and ingenium, syntaneous fur-lover, "specific an influte and ingenium, syntaneous fur-lover, "specific an influte and ingenium, syntaneous fur-lover, "specific an influte and deal of rothing in a most chart on way.

TWOITTH.

Nerchant of

"" Someone has said than 'Shabenjeen' has and indictionary varied and discritinated him 'onie! Vithant denniting his shility to do so, it remains to in paper that in has- and sometions his stetches are less as imported as to les hard to organismed." Donne- in a see

Douce says "the clown in 'Love's Labor's Lost' is a mere country fellow", with "not simplicity enough for a natural fool and not wit enough for an artificial one". As a matter of fact, it seems to me that the character of Costard is one of the greatest miracles in Shakespeare. * The lords and ladies in the play might have stepped out of one of the contemporary romances - if, indeed, they had stepped through some miraculous elixir of life on the way - and the plot is wonderful only for a general closeness of texture where other dramatists left loose threads, and a vivid humanness where others followed too closely the classical symmetry of structure. But Costard is so great an advance on any previous work in clownery, that the wonder is how the Shakespearian Fool could have sprung so nearly half-grown, if not as yet fully armed, from the brow of the mighty creator.

Costard's wit is largely of the "blundering foolery"

tyre, but not infrequently a flash of something appears which is lucid and full of meaning. He is distinctly not a master of repartee, although he tries to be, and is called by others "pure wit". His title of "the rhyming fool", given him by Douce, and often quoted, is, I think, unearned. Occasionally, as in the last part of Act IV, Scene 1, he sustains his part of a dialogue by clapping a rhyme to every line of the other speaker's; but the rhymed stickemythy is no

more striking in Act IV, Scene 1, than in many other passages throughout the play, of which Costard is innocent, and in general Gostard's speech stands out from that of the lords and courtiers by being in prose instead of in verse. In short, Costard is anything but literary. He is a rustic - "a rational hind" - giving a humorous touch to the play by a

certain elfish drollery which colors his view of the strange situation in which he finds himself.

The circumstances under which Costard first appears give a hint as to his connection with the plot. He is the first transgressor against the laws of the community of woman-haters, takes his punishment philosophically, - and goes back to Jaquenetta; thus proving in epitome the futility of laboring against love, and acting as a sort of parody on the "Mind and Art"main action. Dowden says that in the early period " the clown and the lover ... reflect certain lights one upon the other ... they do not as yet interpenetrate" - and Costard and his successor Launce are perfect examples of this relation. The fact that it is Costard who mixes up the letters

> * Victor Scholderer, in his article in the "Library", calls Costard and Launce "among the most original of Shakespeare's comic characters".

V, 2

I, 2

p. 360

country Tailow", with "not simplicity enquel for a detural country Tailow", with "not simplicity enquel for a detural fool and not wit enquel for an artificial one". As a marter of fact, is seems to me that the character of destand is ane of the greatest minacies in she seepesars, The londs and leadies in the play might have stepped out of one of the combiner. Temporary remandes - if, indeed, they had shepped through some of maculous elixir of life on the way - and the plot is wonderful only for a general closeness of taxture where wonderful only for a general closeness of taxture where where other drammiliats left loose threads, and a vivid humanness where others others followed too closely the classical symmetry of etructure, but dostard is so great an savence on any presious work in clownery, that the wonder is now the Shaker spearian Fool could have appung so meanly bulf-grown, if not spearian Fool could have appung so meanly bulf-grown, if not as yot fully armed, from the threw of the mighty creater.

till, but not infrequently a flack of something appears which is lucid and full of measing, he is distinctly not a most of reparted, atthough he tries to be, sud in called by otlers "now wit". He wither of the rightin fool", giver him by Doune, and ofter worked, it. I that amended, because the because of the part of a dislogue by otapping a rhyme to every line of the other appears at but the rhymed stickenthy is no more striking in Act IV. Soens 1, then in many other passemore striking in Act IV. Soens 1, then in many other passe ages throughout the play, of which dosterd is innocent, and in general Gostard's speech stands out from that of the lords and countiers by being in prose instead of in verse. In short, Costard is anything but literary. He is a rustle - "a short, Costard is anything but literary. He is a rustle - "a rational hind" - giving a humorous touch to the play by a certain elfish drollery which colors his view of the strange certain elfish drollery which colors his view of the strange situation in which he finds himself.

The circumstances under which Costard first appears
give a hint as to his commection with the plot. He is the
first transgressor against the laws of the community of woman-haters, takes his punishment philosophically, - and goes
back to Jaquenetta; thus proving in epitome the futility of
laboring against love, and acting as a sort of parody on the
"wind and Art"main action. Dowden says that in the early period " the
p. 360 clown and the lover... reflect derimin lights one upon the
other... they do not as yet interpenetrate" - and Costard
and his auccessor Launce are perfect examples of this relaand his auccessor Launce are perfect examples of this relation. The fact that it is Costard who mixes up the letters

a Victor Scholderer, in his article in the "ilbrery", calls Costard and Laurce "among the most original of Shakespeare's comic characters".

entrusted to him, so as to bring about the denouement of the play, is an incidental, but not the fundamental, basis of his connection with the plot. "His shrewd, untutored rusticity burlesques the don, the curate, the pedant"; his love for Jaquenetta (which he proves as gallantly as ever cavalier did) burlesques the unruly passions of his betters: and, all in all, the play would be thin and empty without him.

V, 2

"Folly in fools bears not so strong a note As foolery in the wise, when wit doth dote:" but it is an excellent mirror for the latter, nevertheless.

In the Comedy of Errors, the two Dromios furnish the low - comedy element, but it is hardly worth mention among the representative Shakespearian clownery. Some slight difference may be detected between them; the Ephesian is a bit sharper of wit, although Antipholus of Ephesus is the simpler and more straightforward of the two masters. But the two servants are nearly as much alike in wit as in figure. They gambol about in high animal spirits, undisturbed by the perplexing situations, except when they take the form of a beating or two; never omitting a chance of slipping a stray pun into a conversation; taking a childish pleasure in the grotesque surprises which alone give body to the play. The description that the Syracusan gives his master of the map as found on the globe - shaped body of his twin's portly wife is a good illustration of his own coarser, rather dull jesting, while his twins neatness of speech is beautifully shown in the quip "respice finem - beware the rope's-end".

III, 2

How stale, flat, and unprofitable do the puppet-like Dromios seem beside the two who next claim our attention! Speed and Launce, the servants of the two gentlemen of Vero-Boas p. 196 na whose affairs became so sadly tangled in Milan, "introduce for the first time the Shakespearian clown in the stricter sense", and are the rarest examples of the first group at its best. They give us farce of the cleverest sort, mockery and banter of the most piquant, pure fun and playfulness of the most uproarious - without being in the least necessary to plot, they are absolutely indispensable to the play.

> In this play, as in the case of the two Dromios, the quicker-witted servant is allotted to the slower, more credulous master. Speed's flashes of wit, bold word-quibbling, and gay snatches of doggerel contrast sharply with Valentine's modest unselfishness and plain dealing; while Launce's half-droll, half-blundering single-mindedness is a perfect foil to the unscrupulous keenness of Proteus. Except for occasional carryings of a love-letter or two, neither servant has any mechanical connection with the plot - an improvement upon the way in which Costard, by being made the instrument of chance, is dragged into undue and unmeaning prominence. But the adventures of Valentine and Proteus are reflected more or less clearly in those of Speed and Launce, while the main theme of the play depends largely upon the comic characters for its emphasis. Fidelity - and the lack

IV. 4

entrusted to him, so se to bring shout the demonstrant of the play, is an incidental, but not the fundamental, basis of its connection with the plot. "His shrewd, untulured rusticity burlesques the don, the curate, the medant"; his love for Jaquenetta (which he proves as gallantly as ever cavaller did) burlesques the unruly passions of his bettern: and, all in all, the play would be thin and empty without him.

S .V

"Folly in fools bears not so strong a note As foolsny in the wise, when wit doth dote;" but it is an excellent wirror for the latter, neverthelese.

In the Comedy element, but it is hardly worth mention arong the representative Shakespearian clovery. Some slight difference may be detected between them; the Ephesian is a bit barence may be detected between them; the Ephesian is a bit abarper of wit, although Antipholus of Ephesus is the simpler and more straightforward of the two mesters. But the two servants are nearly as much alike in wit as in figure. They gambel about in himb animal spirits, undisturbed by the perplexing situations, except when they take the form of a beating or two; never omitting a chance of slipping a stray pun into a conversation; taking a chance of slipping a stray grotesque sumprises which alone rive body to the play. The description that the Syracusan gives his master of the map as found on the clobe - shated body of his twin's northy wife is a good illustration of his own coarser, rather dull shown in the quip "respice linem - beware the rope's-rad". Jesting, while his twine neathese of speech is besutifully shown in the quip "respice linem - beware the rope's-rad". How stale, flat, and unprofitable do the rope's-rad".

Dromios seem beside the two who next claim our attention!

Speed and Launce, the servants of the two gentlemen of VercBoss p.198 na whose affairs became so sadly trurted in Milin. "introduce for the first time the Shakespearian clown in the strict
er sense", and are the rarest examples of the first group at
its best. They give us farce of the olsverest sort, mookeny
and banter of the most piquant, pure fun and playfulness of
the most uproarious - without being in the least necessary
to plot, they are absolutely indispensable to the clay.

In this play, as in the case of the two Dromios, the quicker-witted servant is allotted to the slower, more credulous master. Speed's flashes of wit, bold word-quibbling, and pay snatches of dongerel contrast shartly with Valentine's modest unselfishmess and plain dealths; while Launce's half-droll, helf-blundering single-sindedness is a perfect foil to the unserupalous keenness of Froteus. Except for cocasional carryings of a love-letter or two, neither servant has any mechanical connection with the plot - an improvement upon the way in which Jostard, by being made the instrument of chance, is dragred into undue and unmeaning prominence. But the siventures of Valentine and Proteus are prominence. But the siventures of Valentine and Proteus are reflected more or less clearly in those of Sueed and Launce, while the main theme of the play depends largely upon the domic characters for its emphasis. Fidelity - and the lack domic characters for its amphasis. Fidelity - and the lack

of it - is the essence of the story; Launce is the essence of fidelity; and the dog Crab, one of the proverbially faithful animals, is inseparably linked to him. A greater depth of meaning is thus given to the more simple, uncon-

scious clown than is the professional wit.

How strikingly Speed's description of his master in II. 11 love foreshadows Rosalind! "You were wont, when you laughed," he says, "to crow like a cock; when you walked. to wakk like one o'the lions; when you fasted, it was presently after dinner; when you looked sadly, it was for want of money; and now you are metamorphosed with a mistress, that when I look on you, I can hardly think you my master" Speed is the one who spies Silvia's glove, left not without purpose in Valentine's path; he is also the one who sees through the dainty little trick by which she half-unveils her love for her too unassuming suitor; and he II,1

jeers his master well for his modest dullness. "Oh jest unseen, inscrutable, invisible,

> As a nose on a man's face, or a weathercock on a steeple!"

In an encounter with Launce, Speed's sophisticated w wit is often more than matched by his fellow-servant's drollery, which sometimes leaves the irresistible impression that the latter is by no means such a fool as he seems.

Speed-But tell me true, will't be a match? II,5. Lauce-Ask my dog; if he say ay, it will; if he say no, it will; if he shake his tail and say nothing, it will. Speed-The conclusion is then, that it will.

Launce-Thou shalt never get such a secret from me but by

a parable."

Such simplicity cannot be wholly artless, although its rarest effectiveness depends upon its seeming to be so. In the episode at the end of Act III, Scenel. where Speed earns a beating by thrusting himself into Launce's love secrets and thus delaying his master's departure, the upper hand is more openly with Launce. No quotation can give an adequate idea of the whole inimitable scene; but from the first words-

"Speed- What news, then in your paper? III,1 Launce- The blackest news that ever thou heardest. Speed- Why, man, how black? Launce- Why, as black as ink-"

> to the end, when Speed is at last told that his master has been waiting for him all through this interminable list of "Items" and comments, it is evident that Launce's innocence has his nimble-witted adversary completely outfaced.

> But Launce's best-known scenes are those where he is attended, not by Speed, but by his famous dog Crab. It is incomprehensible to me how Dowden could think that pure farce "wearies" Shakespeare in the face of such broad love of fun as, for instance, the third scene of Act II. Still, the double meaning of Crab's parting, reflecting as it does the silent parting of Julia from Proteus, may have seemed to Dowden to vindicate the scene from the charge of being

of 11 - is the desence of the story; Laumos is the casence of fidelity; and the dog Grab, one of the provertially fattoful animals, is inseparably linked to him. A greater leath of meaning is thus given to the more simple, unconscious clown than is the most sectors with

How strikingly Speed's description of his mester in How strikingly Speed's description of his mester in How foreshadows Hossind! " You were wont, when you laurhed," he says, "to crow like a cook: when you walked, to walk like one o'the lione; when you facted, it was not creachtly after dinner: when you looked sadig, it was for want of money: and now you are retamornhosed with a mistances, that when I icok on you, I can hardly think you my waster! upeed is the one who epies billyin's plove, left not without purpose in Valentine's anth, he is also the one who sees through the dainty little think by which she

Jail-Davelie her love for her too unacountry author; and a jeers his master well for bis moduet dulinges.
"Of jest unacon, insorutable, invisible.

As a nose on a man's face, or a stather and a man's at

In an encounter with Launce, Spead's sorbistiosted wit is often more than retained by his delign-apprent's drollery, which servetices leaves the installery, which servetices is a property of the servetices as the servetices as the servetices of the servetices as t

.com yes and it ill a sys ers and it he eng no.
it will; if he shale his tail and any rolling, it will.
Epsed-The norolusion is then, that it will.

Laures-Thom shalt never not much a secret from me but iw

n paralde."

Even elepticity cannot be wholly antiess, although its

rarest effectiveness depends upon its secolor to be ac. In

the sateode of the sec of Act III. Seemel, where Greed erre

s heatly by throatier biracif into launce's love secrets

and thus deleptor its master's deputies, the under hand in

more openly with launce. No quotation can rive an adaquate

idea of the whole inimitable seeme; but from the itest

Speed- What news, that in your moner? Laures- The blackest news that ever their heardest. Speed- Thy, man, how black?

to the end, when Speed to at lest boil that his math it is to the end of the third pair to the math to the third pairies that of the end of the math that the end of the third pairies that the end of the end of

ettended, not by doeed, but by all Parque doe to be in incomprehensive to me how howden could think that pure incomprehensive to me how howden could think that pure large "wearies" Shakenpeurs to the floce of such broad town of fun as. for instance, the third anere of Act II, Itil. of instance meaning of Grab's partire, sellecting as it does the rilest parting of Julia from Protous, may have scewed to Dowden to Vindicate the scene from the oberge of being

"pure farce". How recklessly ridiculous is the whole monologue! - "my mother weeping, my father wailing, my sister
crying, our maid howling, our cat wringing her hands, and
all our house in a great perplexity, yet did notthis cruelhearted cur shed one tear. He is stone, a very pebble stone,
and has no more pity in him than a dog"! - and then the pantomine representation of the Launce family, when the shoe
that stands for the father "cannot speak a word for weeping,"
and the "shoe with a hole in it" plays the part of the mother, even to "her breath up and down."

The full significance of Crab is bound up in the central theme of the play - fidelity. If Julia's silent farewell is parodied in Launce's pantomime and Proteus's intrusion into his friend's love - affair shines through the adventure of Speed with the "black news" in Launce's itemized love-letter, - still more vital is the inter-relation between the selfish double-dealing of Proteus and the straightforward undelfish-

II, 6 double-dealing of Proteus and the straightforward undelfishness of Launce. "I to myself am dearer than a friend", says

IV, 2 the master, and plans treachery to his friend and to his

the master, and plans treachery to his friend and to his promised love. "One that I brought up of a puppy"; says the untutored peasant - for the faithfulness is on his side for Crab, not on Crab's part for him - "one that I saved from drowning... I have satisfied the stocks for puddings he hath stolen... I have stood on the pillory for seese he hath killed, otherwise he had suffered for t. "And the magnitude of his sacrifice in offering the beloved Crab to Silvia in place of the lost poodle - at least it shows his heart to

IV, 2 be, like his dog, "as big as ten of" his master's.

In Romeo and Juliet occurs a comic passage that is al-

In Romeo and Juliet occurs a comic passage that is almost universally comdemmed - the fooling between Peter and the musicians in the fifth scene of Act IV. Peter is, in very truth, a sorry enough specimen of a clown, serving only as the lowest kind of low-comedy relief, and acting only in company with a choice circle of Capulet kitchenservants. The musicians who were engaged to play for Juliets wedding, and stay, instead, to her funeral, are in a position to play a comic scene with an effect akin to Ophelia's Gravediggers; but this attempt and the more mature one ought hardly to be mentioned in the same breath, so enormous is the distance that stretches between.

Gilden"Remarks" in of Comedy in Tragedy " in Sewanee Magazine, admits, for the "Works of Shake sake of argument, the soundness of the strict classical rule speare", volVII, that "there is no place in tragedy for anything but grave p, 404 (1710) and serious action", and manages very ingeniously to reconcile all offending passages in Shakespeare with this rule.

Comic scenes in tragedy he finds are of two kinds: either the comedy is "placed so early in the play that the fatal termination is not seen to be inevitable", and the context is therefore not yet tragic; or the passage is so charged with pathos through its contrast to the main crisis that the effect is rather to heighten the tragic intensity than to be in any sense comic. The one scene in the whole of Shakes-

. . . .

" pure farce". How reckionally ridical surface to the whole manologue! - " my mothur sealtos, my l'ather wailing, my sinter certiny, our maid howling, our out welcolog has here, and -laure tough to a great complexity, got did notthing course. er, even to " her breath ur and donn.

theme of the play - fideling. If Julia's plant ference to To southward a love - state attach the edition of the sorter tri nove of Launce. " I to specif am deeper than a friend", says the marker, and plans treachery to list friend to his promised love. "One that I brought up of a name"; case the tol able old an at menticulating old tol - impaget barotutes Creb. not on Unab's part for hir - "one that I saved from dreaming ... I have satisful the slooks for guidings he reth states... I mayor stood on the pillery for reces no bath billied, otherwise he had suffered for t." And the marnitude place of the lost poofts - at less it show his heart to

-le at fadd amanan oldon a could tailif bas oeman al the majelane in the fifth scene of Act IV. Teter is, in servante. The musicions wis were encared to risy for dulists wedding, and stay, instead, to ber Juneral, are in a position to play a comic scene with at effect biln to Ophelis's Gravly to be mentioned in the next breath, so energies is the distance that electronic between.

A. P. Mason, in his olever paper or " Shekemmark's Use Works of his caste of arminent, the soundness of the strict clessical rule spense", volVII, that "there to no place in tracedy for any line late percont, and contact and action", and managed very incentivally to pecon and serious sotion, and manager very incentionaly to recon-Comic scenes in transdy he finds are of two similar cities termination is not seen to be ineviteble", and the context in any sense comic. The one some in the whole of shakes-

pearian tragedy that Mr. Nason refuses to attempt to justify is this passage between Peter and the musicians. The result," he says, "is inartistic; the jesting does not relieve, - it merely jars". The use of comedy in such a setting is a task that requires the highest power and sensitiveness of a finished master, and no lesser artist than Shakespeare in his perfect development could hope to achieve it.

The fool in "The Taming of the Shrew" is played by Grumio - a character in which it is hard to discern any traces of Shakespeare's comic genius. He is the body servant of the bold Petruchio, and appears in fully half the scenes that show us his master; in several other scenes, too, he acts as interpreter of Petruchio's will in his absence - as when he inspects the servants at the country house, or refuses to bring food to the starving Shrew. The keynote of his comedy in all three circumstances seems to be abject fear of his blustering master. But his "lines" are dull and pointless, and the laughs that he raises may be judged by such an attempt at foolery as "Ay, sir, they be

ready; the oats have eaten the horses".

All's Well that Ends Well" may be regarded as a transition-play between the first period and the second of Shakespeare's work. Although it is a true comedy, still we miss from it the broad comedy spirit - the boyish, roystering love of fun of the early plays - and we feel the introduction of a somber, threatening background of dreadful possibilities that looms over the action up to the very last scene. When next Shakespeare wrote comedy with a tragic setting, weaving a brilliant Venetian pageant against the dark story of a Jew's revenge, he did it with a surer hand and a truer mastery of chiaroscuro; but in this first venture the balance is less perfect. The comic relief is divided between the insipid knaveries of Parolles and the empty word-quibbles of the clown Lavache, whose foolery is as

p, 8 IV, 5coarse as it is dull. Hayn calls him citified and sophisticated, and notes his reference to his bauble, which proves him to be a regular domestic fool.

> mouth - "That mangshould be at woman's command, and yet mo hurt done!" It seems to me that in a deeper way also, the atmosphere of the play is embodied in the clown. The marriage tie under circumstances and in adventures so unnatural as to be fairly grotesque, is the prevailing impression; and, from Lavache's first appearance to beg for freedom to marry Isbel, a fellow-servant, to his change of heart after seeing "your Isbel o' the court", and his disgusting exposition of his adaptibility as " a fool, sir, at a woman's service, and a knave at a man's", - he strikes this keynote to a wearisome extent. Gervinus remarks that

Dowden has chosen a motto for the play out of Lavache's

Bunnett's in this play "only the comic parts, such as Parolles and trans. the clown, are the property and invention of the poet", while Hammer, in his introduction, takes a more charitable

III, 2

I, 3

I, 3 III, 2

IV, 5

hearing travedy that Mr. Madon refigura to attempt to jus-

grante - a character th which it is band to discern any too, be sate as interpreter of Petrucklo's will in his absence - as when he imagects the servents at the country house, or refuers to bring food to the ctarving Shrew. The

ready; the cabe have eater the horses".

Shakespears's work. Although it is a tone comedy, abill we last scene. When next Shelcereare wrote coredy with a tragand a truor mastery of obtainsouro: but in this first wenture the belance is less perfect. The occid relief is divas at gralouf sporty , sicevel myolo edd To selffling-brow yo p, 8 IV, boosise as it is dull. Hayn calls him sittited and tophisticated, and notes his reference to his bauble, which proves

Bowden has assess a wotto for the play out of Lavache's atmosphere of the play is embedied in the clown. The at a woman's nervice, and a knaws at a man's", - he strikes this legacie to a wearingme. Convinue remarks that

view of such comic passages as he finds distinctly unsatis-Warner p 88factory. "A clown is introduced quibbling in a miserable manner... though such trash is frequently interspersed in his writings, it would be unjust to cast it as an imputation upon his taste and judgment as a writer". It is interesting Warner p 43in this connection that Pope claims to have seen a stage MS.

with parts of clown scenes added written in the margin,
"which were afterwards included in the First Folio".

Such criticism as this would be allowable if it were an article of our belief that Shakespeare is a sort of superhuman master-artist, who must at all costs be proved infallible and above reproach. But since we have learned not only to see the differences between his early and later work, but to trace his growth toward artistic perfection, play by play and period by period, it is no longer necessary to cancel from the Shakespeare conon all that we cannot sanction with our approval. As a matter of fact, pert as he is, word-picker as he is, dull - even coarse as he is, I venture to say that Lavache seems, to me at least, Shakesperian to the core. He is an indispensable link between Launce and Touchstone, between Costard and Feste. It is merely a difference in artistic handling that reconciles us to Touchstone's wooing of Audrey and leaves us repulsed by Lavache's adventures. In Feste's mouth, the nonsense ballad about Helen of Troy -

"Was this fair face the cause, quoth she, Why the Grecians sacked Troy?

Among nine bad if one be good, There's yet one good in ten:"

would be better known than it is; but Lavache is Feste's predecessor in lyric clownery. And most unmistakeable of all is the Shakespearian philosophy in the passage where Lavache declares "I can serve as great a prince as you are - the black prince, sir; alias, the prince of darkness; alias, the devil."...."I am a woodland fellow, sir, that always loved a great fire; and the master I speak of ever keeps a good fire. But, sure, he is the prince of the world; let his nobility remain in's court. I am for the house with the narrow gate...but the many will be too chill and tender, and they'll be for the flowery way that leads to the broad gate and the great fire."

Before we go on to the second group of plays, toward which Lavache reaches forward as such a perfect transition, it will be well to look at the two comedies of the last Stratford period; the clowns Antolycus and Trinculo are by no means distantly related to Launce and Peter or Grumio, and are best classified together with them.

The greatest of these, of course, is Antolycus; who, though not a domestic dependent of any household, is so clearly a prefessional humorist as to assure him a place in the gallery of clowns. And his place is a distinctive one, for he stands out, second only to Falstaff, as Shakespeare's most charming Not only was he born under the sign of Mercury, god of all

I, 3

IV, 5

rogue.

Varner p selection, "A clown is introduced quithling is a miserable manner p selectory. "A clown is introduced quithling is a miserable member... though even teal tellequently interspersed in the writings, it would be unjust to cest it as an imputation upon his tasts and judgment as a mitter". It is intersetion where p tain this commection that, Pope chairs to have seen a stare MS. with parts of clown access added whitten in the margin, with mere afterwards included in the First Folio".

Such oriticism as this would be allowed at it is were an article of our belief that Shakespents is a sont of surer human mester-ertiet, who must at all costs be proved infallible and above remosch. But since we have learned not only to see the differences between his early and later work, but to trace his provin toward artistic perfection, play by play and period by period, it is no longer necessary play by play and period by period, it is no longer necessary as to caused from the Shakespeare comon oll that we carnot as easier of the with our approval. As a matter of fact, pert as he is, word-picker as he is, dull - even coarse as he is, I east to say that tayache seems, to se at least, Shakesperian to the core. He is an indispensable link between Launce and Jouchatone, between Bostard and Feste. It is merely a difference in artistic handling that recenciles us to Touched atomics. In deste's mouth, the noncenes ballad about adventures. In deste's mouth, the noncenes ballad about

"Was this fair face the cause, quoth she,

Among nine bad if one he good, There's yet one good in ten."

would be better inown then it is; but larache is Foste's predecessor in Lyric clownery. And most unrichteshie of all is the Shakespearian philosoph; in the passage where larache declares "I om serve as great a prince as you are - the black prince, sir; alies, the trince of darkness; alias, the devil. ".... "I am a woodland fellow, sir, that always loved a great fire; and the master I speak of ever beers a good fire. But, sure, he is the prince of the world; let hid nobility remain to's count. I am for the bouse with the marrow gate. But the many will be too chill and tender, and they'll be for the flowery way that leads to the broat fire.

Refere we so on to the second group of place, toward which Lavache reaches forward as such a perfect transition; it will be well to look at the two comedies of the last stretford seriod; the clowns Antolyous and Trinoule are by no means distantly related to Launce and Feter or Grunio, and are best classified together with them.

The greatest of these, of course, is Antolyous: who, though not a domestic dependent of any household, is so clearly a prefessional numerist as to assume him a place in the gallery of clowns. And his place is a distinctive one, for he etands out, second only to Balataif, as Shekespeepe's most charming to only was he born under the stem of Memoury, and of all

the data described

thieves and liars, but his very name is the name of Mercury's own son, thus giving him a double birthright as "a snapper-up of unconsidered trifles". Free as air, gay and wild as a gypsy, full of song and chatter as a thieving little sparrow, he roves in and out of the Bohemian scenes, giving a spicy touch to the idyllic pastoral of the sheep-shearing. He is a pedlar, catering to the trade of the vain, finery-loving shepherdesses; his stock-in-trade is well described in his own words - "I have sold all my trumpery; not a counterfiet

shepherdesses; his stock-in-trade is well described in his own words - "I have sold all my trumpery; not a counterfiet stone, not a riband, glass, pomander, brooch, table-book, ballad, knife, tape, glove, shoe-tie, bracelet, horn-ring, to keep my pack from fasting". But the income from his trade is evidently more than topped by that from what he calls his "profession" - knavery. He is a perfect wizard of a pick-pocket, and an expert confidence man; and is not above that roguery which smacks so refreshingly of the modern vagrant-stealing sheets that are put out to dry. Our first glimpse of him is when he "enters, singing" an impish spring song-

With, heigh! the doxy over the dale,-"

in which

"The white sheet bleaching on the Redge".
is mentioned as one of the most welcome signs of the season.

The stage direction "Enter Antolycus, singing" is the usual way of introducing the tuneful wretch; he has a different song for every occasion - "he hath songs for man IV. 3 or woman, of all sizes; no milliner can so fit his customers with gloves...he hath ribands of all colors...why, he sings them over, as they were gods or goddesses". Antolycus is a proof positive that Shakespeare's musical fools had been a complete success, for here we find the musical side so developed and emphasized as to be perhaps the distinguishing mark of the character. Eleanor Prescott Hammnond, in the Atlantic, traces the growth of music in the plays to the entrance of an unknown boy singer into Shakespeare's company near the end of his first series of comedies. At any rate, in some way of other Shakespeare found out that lyric snatches were enormously popular, and consequently gave them generously to his public; most generously of all in these last two comedies, in which Antolycus and Ariel leave echoes incongruous and yet whimsically enchanting, in the air.

We must mention Antolycus's connection with the plot of The Winter's Tale. He is thrust into some importance, for it is by his agency that the old shepherd comes to tell the king of the secret of Perdita's birth; but he is made the instrument of chance much more skilfully and consistently than was Costard, at the opposite extremity of Shakespeare's artistic development. First of all, Antolycus overhears the plans for Florizel's elopement to Sicilia with the fair sheperdess whom he little guesses to be Sicilia's lost princess. He does not know what use to make of this "juicy bit"-"If I thought it were a piece of honesty to acquaint the king withal, I would not do it; I hold it the more knavery to conceal it, and therein am I constant to my profession" - and this very reflection is interrupted by the

IV, 3

0.5

this year, thus priving the a double birthright as "a snapper our son, thus priving the a double birthright as "a snapper up of unconsidered triffles". Free as win, ea; and wild as a rooms, full of some and obsiter se e this wing little sparrow, he rows in and out of the Pobsaish asenes, riving a rote; touch to the idyllic pasteral of the abser-shapring. He is a pediar, catering to the trade of the wain, finery-lowing a pediar, catering to the trade of the wain, finery-lowing shapherdeases; his atmost-in-trade is well described in his shape where a riband, plass, romander, trooch, table-book, atmost not a riband, plass, romander, trooch, table-book, table, wife, tape, glove, shot-tie, bracelet, born-ring, to seep my paul from fasting". But the income from lie trade is evidently more than topped by that from what he called the rooms, "unclession" - ansvery. He is a perfect them of a pick-pocket, and an expert confidence man; and is not showe that rowner, which amacks so refreshingly of the modern vagrantation sheets that are put out to dry. Our first planese of him is when he "erters, singing" an imples apring sone-when he "erters, singing" an imples apring sone-when he "erters, singing" an imples apring sone"when deffodile beath to meer."

to writer

"The white sheet bleaching on the Bedge".
is mentioned as one of the rost welcome sings of the season.
The stare direction "Enter Antalyour, cinging" is the

usual way of introducing the tuneful wretch: he has a different conf for every occasion - "he hath conge for man or women, of all sizes: no militaer can so fit his austomers with playes...he hath ribends of all colors...wil, he sings them over as they were gods or roddesses". Antolycus is a proof positive that Shakespeans's musical fools had been a complete success, for here we find the rusical side accomplete success, for here we find the rusical side accomplete success, for here we find the rusical side accomplete fine and emphasized as to be perhaps the distinction in the Allantic, traces the growth of rusic in the playe to the entrance of an unimous boy singer into Chakespears's company the search way of this first series of comedies. At any rate, in some way of other Chakespears found out that lyric sustain were entranced, popular, and consequently gave them generous to his public; most remercusly of all in these last two comedies, in which Antolyous and Ariel leave schoes inconcount of the ribes and the single of the single of the ribes and the ribes and the single of the ribes and the single of the ribes and the ribes and the ribes are ribes and the ribes and the ribes and the ribes are ribes and the ribes and the ribes and the ribes are ribes and the ribes and t

We must mention Antolycus's counsetion with the plot of The Winter's Tale. He is thrust into some importance, for it is by his spency that the old sheplerd comes to tell the king of the secret of Perditz's hirth; but he is made the instrument of chance much more skilfully and consistently that was dostard, at the opposite extensity of Shakespeere's artistic development. First of all, intelly our shakes the plane for florizel's alongment to Sicilia with the fair plane for florizel's alongment to Sicilia with the fair obspectant man whom he little guesses to be Sicilia's lost of the flory if I thought it were a risce of honosty to acquaint the king withel. I would not to it: I hold it the more knewery to occoust it, and therein am I constant to my pro-

8 .VI

two shepherds from whom the witty scamp easily elicits the information which later proves Perdita's identity. Character—
IV, 3 istic to perfection is his next droll soliloguy - "If I had a mind to be honest, I see, Fortune would not suffer me; she drops booties in my mouth...a means to do the prince my good- who knows how that may turn back to my advancement?...
To him will I present them; there may be matter in it."

What a sad, colorless anticlimax is the picture of Antolycus converted! How it grates upon our finer sensibilities, strung to the elfish key of scapegrace gypsy warblings, to hear our beloved scamp chanting repentance! Let us at least hope that Antolycus is winking his left eye atrociously at

the audience as he says-

"I humbly beseech you, sir, to pardon me all the faults
I have committed to your worship, and to give me your good
report to the prince my master" - and as to the shepherd's

"Thou wilt amend thy life? he answers submissively,

"Ay, an it like your good worship."

Surely this is more doing "good against his will", not real repentance! Surely, oh surely, Antolycus is incorrigible!

In The Tempest, there are two groups of detestable characters - the first is Sebastian and Antonio, who plot against the king of Naples, and the second is Caliban, Stephano, and Trinculo, who plot against Prospero. Of course Caliban's attempt never rises to the level of tragedy, even of seriousness, but acts as a sort of amplifying episode in bringing out the absolute invulnerability of Prospero, and the completeness with which the island is in his power. This gubordinate action, then, is the one in which Trinculo the jester figures, and even here he plays no hero's part. Caliban is made an ally and slave by means of a taste of the "celestial liquor" that Stephano always takes care to be supplied with; and his adoration is consequently poured fourth upon Stephano alone, while he has nothing but abuse

for Trinculo. The drunken butler is his god.

We do not see Trinculo in the king's train at all, which may explain his plentiful lack of wit - for it is possible that a professional jester was saving of his efforts except when actually on duty; and yet this ought not to be true of a professional jester on the stage. I do not think Trinculo makes a single joke which may even charitably be called a joke, in the whole course of the play. As a matter of fact, Ariel is the representative Fool of the piece, although of course such a classification would be taking too great liberties with nomenclature. But Ariel, the bright and tuneful, the favorite of Prospero, the instrument of all benign and wholesome proctical jokes, surely seems to strike more nearly the keynote of the typical Shakespearian Fool.

V, 2

V. 2

II, 2

two shepherds from whom the witty scarr sasily elicits the information which later proves Fridite's identity. Character-stic to perfection in his next drell solilogy - "If I had a mind to he honest, I see, Fortune would not suffer me:

she drops booties in my mouth... a reads to do the prince my nord- who knows how that may turn back to my savencementy... To him will I present there there may be metter in it."

To him will I present there there may be maiter in it."
What a sad, colorless auticlimar is the micture of Anto-Lyons convented. How it prater upon our finer sensibilities, struck to the elfish key of scapegrace myrey werblings, to

hear our deloved squip chanting repentance! Let us at least hope that Antol; ous is winking his left eye atrociously at the audience as he says.

I have committed to your working, and to sive me your mond I have committed to your working, and to sive me your monday is and as to the shapperd and the intervence submissions will append the intervence submissions.

"Ay, an it like your good worehit."
Simely this is more doing "good egainst its will", not real
repentance! Surely, of surely, Antolyous is incorrictale!

repentance! Surely, ob surely, Antolyous is incorrighte!
In The Tempest there are two groups of decembed elected characters - the first is Behastism and Antonio, who plot against the king of Raples, and the second is Usilonn.

Thephano, and "rinculo, who plot arsingt knowners. Of course Caliban's attempt never rises to the level of tragedy, ever of seriousness, but sots as a sort of smallfying enlands in Tringing out the absolute invulnerability of Prospero, and the completeness with which the island is in his nower. This subordinate action, then, is the one in which Trinculo the jester figures, and even here he plays no here's part. Calibra is rade an ally and slave by means of a make of the "celestial liquor" that Stephano slays takes care to be entry to be

supplied with: and his adoration is consectently rouned fourth upon Stephano alone, while he has not in but a use for Trinoulo. The drunken butler is his cod.

We do not see Tringulo in the Fing's treats at all, which way explain his plentiful lack of all - for it is possible that a professional jester was saving of his afforts except when satually on duty; and yet this curst not to be true of a professional jester on the stage. I so not think Tringulo makes a simple joke which was even charitably he called a joke, in the whole course of the play. As a matter of fact, into the representative Fool of the place, although of artisl is the representative Fool of the place, although of course such a classification would be taking too great liberties with nomenclature. But aried, the bright and tuneful, the favorite of Prospero, the instrument of all benish and wholesome proctical jakes, surely seems to strike more nearly the keynote of the typical Shaleshearier Fool.

Lavache, we have seen, forms a perfect link between the first division of fools - the more conventional type, acting in a subordinate episode rather than in the main plot, and having frequent monologues of the "stultus loquitur" color - and the second division, where the jester reaches his highest triumph. But the three peerless comedies, Merchant of Venice, As You Like It, and Twelfth Night, did not spring as uneventfully from the earlier foolery as a cabbage from its stalk or a pea-pod from its vine. The burst of gay clownery that illuminates the years 1597 to 1600 was the result of a distinct venture and a spectacular step forward in the realm of comedy - that was the creation of Falstaff.

It is mere sophistry to say that Falstaff "played the fool to Prince Hal," and to try to include him in the list of Fools on the strength of an extension of terms such as that: and yet he has a place in any treatment of the subject. Up to this time Shakespeare had never put absolute faith in pure comedy as an essential to the drama. He had a lingering distrust, born of the wide-spread contempt for clownery among the "high-brow" literary dramatists of the time - Kit Marlowe, Sir Philip Sidney, and the others whose scornful words have been quoted earlier in this paper. Once in a while he had let himself go and launched out joyously on a strain pf pure farce, as in the"Pyramus and Thisbe "rehearsals, or Launce"s monologues, or parts of the "Taming of the Shrew". But he was always at bottom conservative, and much of his best fun, in the early plays, is not only spontaneous and unconscious, but almost against his own best judgment as an artist.

I Hen. IV

It was "the inimitable Falstaff" that made the great change in Shakespeare's altitude. The play in which he made his appearance had more editions printed in Shakespeare's life-time than any other play; the public roared with laughter and came again; Queen Elizabeth, after seeing him in three plays, begged for more. The fat knight was the craze of the hour - and from that time on there were no doubts in the author's mind as to the desirability of the purely ludicrous element in drama. "In Falstaff, humour has acquired clear consciousness of itself and become free"-"a conception hardly less complex, hardly less wonderful, than Hamlet".

Dowden p 361

This was the Declaration of Independence of Shakespeare's native love of fun. No longer is the clown kept in a sub-ordinate group of characters or given only an occasional or episodic prominence. The great clowns of the second division - Launcelot, Feste, and Touchstone - have wormed their way deep into the confidence and sympathies of their masters and mistresses; they are treated more like human beings and shown to us with deeper insight; their connection with the plot is vital, their wit is true-aimed and pregnant-"bright, tender, and gracious, not conscious cleverness like the first period. It was now that Shakespeare's mirth was the freest for disport - comedy is disengaged from history and not yet

D OWDEN p 369

reaches his highest triumyd. But the three poerless com-edies, Merchant of Venice, As Ton Like It, and Twelfth Wight, did not spring as uneventfully from the earlier from ery as a cabbage from its stalk or a pen-pod from its vinc. to 1600 was the result of a distinct venture and a spectace wise step forward in the realm of concedy - that was the

It is more sophistry to may that Palethir "played the real to Prince Hal," and to try to include his in the list of Fools on the atrength of an extension of serms such as time - Kit Mariowe, Sir Philip Sidney, and the others whose scornful words have been queted carlier in this report. -yet the hestenned but og Tienrich tel bad et eithe a mt comb bishe "roncorsols, or Laumce"s monologies, or onets of the "Taming of the Shrew". But he was always at better conserton et ,evely vives odd mi ,aut food ein to down bons ,ovider It was "the inimitable Falstan" that make the great

ter and come again; Queen Elizabeth, after sector him in three plays, begged for more. The fat intgut was the conve crows element in drams. "In Pulstaff, humour has sequired ciese consciousness of itself and become "rec"-"a conception hardly less complex, hardly lods wonderful, then Handett.

episodic aveninence. The event clowes of the second divicing - Lagraciet, Feste, and Touchstone - have vormed thatr vay deep into the confidence and sympathies of their sasters plot is vital, their wit is true-aired and premnant-"bright

for disport - comedy is discovered from history and not yet

under the shadow of tragedy."

III.5 II.6 domestic clown. He is called "the fool" and "the patch", but Shylock is not the kind of man likely to keep a jester as such. Possibly his service to Bassanie was of this kind; Bassanio orders for him"a livery more guarded than his II,2 fellows", which suggests to some commentators a motley suit, but which does not seem to justify that interpretation. Launcelot's wit seems to me to be of an independent sort, springing rather from his self-conceit and love of "a III, 5 tricksy word" than from his duties as a domestic fool. He lets slip no opportunity, nevertheless, of making a welltimed joke serve his turn; as when he earns a word of commendation from Bassanio, his future master, by the quip,

II, 2 "The old proverb is very well parted between my master Shylock and you, sir; you have the grace of God, sir, and he hath enough".

Launcelot's character, which is portrayed as vividly and searchingly as many of his betters, is all compact of conceit, greediness, and good nature. He has not the sort of wit, or the disposition, to do harm to any living creature; his chief intellectual characteristic is a tireless imagination. Much fault has been found with the scene where he figuratively cuts circles around his poor old

Launcelot Gobbo is difficult to pigeonhole as a genuine

"high-gravel-blind" father, teasing him first to use the II, 2 title "Master Launcelot" then playing him with news of Launcelot's death, and at last making use of the old man's "dish of doves" to buy preferment with Bassanio, Scholderer

Library'09 p. 14

calls the scene " a disagreeable discord". Hayn says it "does not belong in this place, nor in the play at all".* I can see how some tender-hearted spectators, from motives of humanity, might object to the tormenting of the poor old

man; although even Shylock thinks "the patch is kind enough"; II, 6 but I fail to feel any discord in the presence of the scene in the play, or in this part of the play. It is a bit of unrestrained, mirth-provoking farce, whose effect on the stage I have observed to be most contagious and successful; and its charm springs from its very absurdity. A delicious idiot like Launcelot is surely free from ordinary rules of conduct, even if his treatment of old Gobbo bordered more nearly than it does upon real cruelty. His attitude is

merely that of a perfectly ridiculous reversal of the usual father-and-son relations, expressed in the words-"this is II, 2 my true-begotten father", and "it is a wise father that knows his own child"; and in this ludicreus incongruity lies the humor. Indeed, it would seem that old Gobbo is not the only one who is "more than sand-blind" in his view of Launcelot!

> The high-water-mark of pure fun from the boy's lips is struck in his first soliloguy-the dialogue between fiend and conscience as to running away from the Jew; which would more than repay quotation in full, were it not so wellknown. To this creative height he never again quite rises. His puns are execrable, but his manner of making them irresistible - so complete is his satisfaction with himself.

* Die Seene II, 2 mit reinem blinden Vater, die weder an diese Stelle mocle überhaupt in das Stück geliert, musz unangenehm berühren " are his words.

"doing selt" bas "Inol selt" bolfso at oil . mwolo oldesmob b. II 7. III

Laurecold's wit seems to se to be of as independent sert,

look and you, sir; you have the guees of God, sir, and be

seeferit s at alastratamente Ismisellorni Seide sid : amut birth-gravel-blind" fathers, tergine bile first to use the "dish of dayes" to buy prefer ent will lassante. Scholderer

Library'09 calls the scene " a disagreenble discerd". Have save it

not the only one who is "more than sami-blind" in his view His pams are excerable, but his manner of making them imediatible so complete is his satisfaction with birecht.

lies the humer. Indeed, It would seen that old noting

I .TT

Hudson I 285

II 3

"The poverty of his wit is thus enriched by his complacency in dealing it out." After an encounter with him, we lean back breathless from excess of nonsense, exclaiming with Lorenzo,

"Oh dear discretion, how his words are suited!" It is in his relations with Jessica that Launcelot has his real dramatic importance, although this is too often emphasized at the expense of his own personality. Jessica is in a position which makes it easy to misunderstand her motives and underestimate, her character. She must be kept subordinate in the play, which precludes a close and careful delineation. It is important that she be judged correctly, for our estimate of Shylock is materially influenced by our sympathy, or lack of sympathy, with Jessica. this dilemma that Shakespeare illumines the "beautiful pagan" with a piercing, lucid flash from the side of her life in Shylock's home - and this flash of light is the fool Launcelot. In As You Like It and Twelfth Night, the Poet has be-

As You Like

come completely alive to the romantic and dramatic possibilities that lie in the motley coat, and he gives the fool the prominence that his masterpieces of fool - craft deserve. The romantic appeal of the fool is due to the flavor of Medievalism that clings about him when portrayed strictly as a court dependent; and as for dramatic possibilities what instinctive dramatist but whose lungs would "begin to crow like chanticleer" at the discovery of a character who "must have liberty

II,7

II,7

It

Withal, as large a charter as the wind, To blow on whom I please, for so fools have; And they that most are galled with my folly They most must laugh."

Both of these plays abound in references to the position and privileges of the fool, and show that now Shakespeare's attention was consciously directed toward him as rich and promising stage type.

III,3

Touchstone is a middle-aged, cynical, rather self-important man of the world, distinctive, in a romantic fantasy like As You Like It, for his commonsense and clear head -"a material fool" rather than one whose buffoonery has no solid rational foundation. He is a man of resource, of quick perception and unbiassed judgments, a cool reasoner and a master of satire. "Is this not a rare fellow, my lord?

V .4

- he's as good at anything, and yet a fool."

There are two phases of his character, one a little more obvious than the other, but both well brought out. One is his substantial manliness, and the other is his habit of satire. The human manliness of him is largely responsible for the fascination felt always and everywhere by those who come under Touchstone's spell, for it is that that gives the genial, wholesome note to his cynicism, and saves him from being in any sense a misanthrope. It is this note that is struck by his first step into prominence, when Rosalind and Celia think of him first of all as a helpful companion in their exile - " a comfort to our travel", and Celia testifies

I, 3

monhon 1

"The powerty of his wit is thus enrished by his complanency in desired it out." After an encountry with him, we lean book breathless from excess of nousense, evelshwing with a memory.

"Oh done sixcertion, now his words are suited!"

It is in his relations with Jessian that burncelet has be read drawatic importance, ulthough that is to often emphasized at the expense of his own prisonality. Instinction which makes it easy to misunderstand her motives and underestimate her abstractor. She must be kept subordingto in the play, which precludes a close and care-rated delimention. It is important that she has taked correctly, for our estimate of shylocl is materially influenced to this dilemma that Shairespania, with decide. It is in the pages" with decide. It is in the pages" with a piercing, land flash "nom the stin of her read the incurcing limit in Shylock's home — and this flash of light is the "out launceint.

In As You Like It and Twelfth Fight, the That has he can e completely alive to the reportion and drawnthe mostbilities that lie in the mothey cost, and he gives the fool the provinces of the provinces that his masterplaces of fool - araft deserve. The remarkie appeal of the fool is the to the flavor of looflavelism that alines about bir when cortraped strictly as a court dependent; and as for drawithe possibilities - what instinctive drawnist but whose lumps would "hopen to cook like charticles" at the discovery of a character who cook like charteless" at the lure like the

Withal, as large a charter as the wind, to blow on whom I plasse, for so fools lave; and they that most are galled with my folly

Noth of these place abound in references to the resition and privileges of the Pool, and show that now Shutespeare's attention was consciously directed toward him as rich and providing stars two.

Touchstone is a middle-need, cynical, rather self-important was of the world, distinctive in a romantle Cantasy like As You Litr II, for his commonsense and olest head -"a material food" rather than one shose buffooners has no solid ratheral foundation. He is a man of resource, of quick perception and unbiassed judgments, a cool reasoner and a master of sative. "Is this not a rone fellow, my lord" - he's as good at anviling, and yet a fool."

There are two places of his observer, one a little more obvious than the other, but both well brought out. One is his sobstantial manifees, and the other is his habit of satire. The busan manifeess of him is largely responsible for the fascination full always and everywhere by those who came under Touchstone's spell, for it is that that given the genial, wholesome note to his cynicism, and saves him from bring in any sense a misanthrope. It is this note that is struck by his first step into prominence, when hoselind and struck by his first step into prominence, when hoselind and their exile of him first of all as a helpful companion in their exile - "a comfort to our travell and delia testifies

.

thi way sa

7.11

S.III

4 1

to his devotion to herself in the words "He'll go along o'er the wide world with me." But his generous heart has been shown before this. Monsieur Le Beau, a soulless, mincing-mouthed pimp like Hamlet's Osric, comes to tell the princesses of the "good sport" they have lost, and proceeds to describe in great detail the havoc wrought by the duke's wrestler among the three sons of an old man. Touchstone dryly remarks "Thus men grow wiser every day. It is the first time ever I heard breaking of ribs was sport for ladies."

In the forest, the jester's professional veneer is somewhat worn off, and his professional artificiality more or less undermined. The spirit of Arden is in his veins-not, of course, as dominantly as in the younger and more impressionable bloods, but still perceptibly; and his love for Amdrey is, I think, a still stream that "runs deep" under the surface of banter and condescension. The free air of the forest awakens in him longings to be a man among men. It is the glorying in his triumphant manhood that goes to his head like an intoxicant in his whirlwind scene with Andrey's old lover, and culminates in the fearsome threat - "I will kill thee a hundred and fifty ways; therefore tremble, and depart!" And it is the futile protest of these stirrings of manhood against his honorless calling, that speaks in the only really bitter words from his lips -

"Duke Senior-By my faith, he is very swift and sententious.

Touchstone - According to the fool's bolt, sir."

His ever-present genius for satire is his most obvious characteristic. He shows his well-balanced impartiality, not by approving of everything equally, but by satirizing everthing that comes within range of his shrewd eyes. By means of Touchstone's racy wit, Shakespeare keeps the air of his pastoral from too unadulterated Arcadian sweetness. A dainty little pastoral lyric is promptly dismissed with a sniff - "Truly, young gentlemen, though there was no great matter in the ditty, yet the note was very untuneable-----I count it but time lost to hear such a foolish song". Jaques's artistic melancholy is burlesqued to his very face, and so exquisitely as to take in the victim himself. Let Jaques tell it -

"And then he drew a dial from his poke,
And looking on it with lack-lustre eye,
Bays very wisely, 'It is ten o'clock;--'Tis but an hour ago since it was nine,
And after one hour more 'twill be eleven"- and so

The sophisticated jester openly patronizes everything rustic, in a hundred different ways, likening himself to Ovid among the Goths, and consigning Corin straight to damnation, "like an ill-roasted egg, all on one side," for

never having been at court. Yet could any bigoted pastoralfanatic utter a more stinging jeer than Touchstone's proof that he was a courtier?

I, 2

V, 1

V, 4

V, 3

II, 7

III, 3

III, 2

to his devotion to herealf in the words "He'll me along o'er the wide world with me." But his genermus heart has been shown before this. Monsiour to Been, a soulines, inchng-mouthed ging like Hamler's courie, comes to tell the princeses of the "good sport" they have lost, and the princeses to describe in creat detail the have wrought by the cuke's wrestler among the three sons or an old wan. Touchstone dryly remarks "Thus men grow wiser every day. It is the first time ever I heard breaking of ribs was sport for ladies."

In the forest, the jester's professional vencer is sorewhat were off, and his professional artificiality more or less andermined. The spirit of Arden is in his veins-net, of course, as dominantly as in the yemmen: and more impressionable bloods, but atill perceptible; and his love for andrey is, I tilnk, a still stream that "runs leem" under the surface of harter and condessension. The free air of the forest awakens in his longings to be a man among men. It is the glorying in his triumphant manhood that goes to his head like as interviously in his virtuit acces with Andrey's old lover, and cultimates in the fearsone threat - "I will lill then a hundred and firty ways; therefore tremble, and depart!" And it is the faitly protest of these stherings of manhood against his faitle protest of these stherings of manhood against his bondries calling, that speaks in the only really bitter words from his lips

"Bule Senier-By my Caith, he is very easet and

Its aver-present genius for settre is his most obvious characteristic. He shows his well-halanced importality, not by sprewing of everything equally, but by satirfying everything that comes within mange of his sirewd eyes. By means of Tomohstone's racy wit, Shakespeare neeps the air of his pasteral from too machilterated from the machilterated from the first sections. A deinty little pasteral lyste is promptly dismissed with a smiff "Ernly, young gentlemen, though there was no great matter in the dittr, yet the note was very unimpable ---- count it but thme lost to bear such a foolish song". Jaques's artistic melananchy is burlesqued so his very face, and so exquisitely as to take in the victim himself. Let daques tell it.

And then to drew a dist from his pale.

And looking on it with lack-lustre dve.

Says very stacky. 'It is ten o'cleck:--
Tis but an hour age since it was nine.

And after one hour more 'twill be sleven"

The souldsticated jester openly patronizes oronyiling rustic, in a hundred different ways, likening himself to Ovid amount the Golles, and consigning Corto straight to damention, "like an ill-rossied egg, all on one side," for never baving hean at court. Tet could our biroted masteral funstic atter a more stinging jeer than brothstone's proof that he was a courtles?

V, 4

"I have trod a messure; I have flattered a lady; I have been politic with my friend, smooth with mine enemy; I have undone three tailors; I have had four quarrels, and like to have fought one... but... I durst go no further than the 'Lie Circum'stantial,' nor hie durst not give me the 'Lie Direct:' so we measured swords, and parted."

I, 2

Last and boldest of all, his swift irony seeks out the weak spots in the worn-out cant of chivalry, in his tale "of a certain knight, that swore by his honorar they were good pancakes, and...the mustard was naught; now...the pancakes were naught and the mustard was good, and yet was not the knight forsworn,...swearing by his honour, for he never had any; or, if he had, he had sworn it away before ever he say those pancakes or that mustard." And

II, 4 III, 2 self, which he confesses "grows something stale with me."
He laughs at Orlando's "false gallop of verses" rhyming
Rosalind's name, which he parodies in a sublime strain of

of a piece with this audacity is his ridicule of love it-

II, 4

nonsense; "I'll rhyme you so eight years together, dinners and suppers and sleeping hours excepted," he grins. "I remember when I was in love, " he rambles on in a droll

reminiscent mood, telling how he fought with a stone, most disastrously, in defense of Jane Smiles; and gave her two peascods, saying "with weeping tears, 'Wear these for my sake.' We that are true lovers run into strange capers," he sighs, little guessing how soon he is himself to prove the statement! It is a hard question whether his love

Weiss p.116

affair with Audrey is serious, or whether "he brings in his wife with a pleased sense that she is his best joke."
"A poor virgin, sir, an all-favoured thing, sir, but mine own; a poor humour of mine, sir, to take that no man else

V, 4.

will." My own opinion is that, if this is a joke, Touchstone has for once lost his balance and carried a joke too far—a fault I do not like to charge him with; and I would rather picture him as drawing from the enchanted forest a breath of something that awakens the human emotions grown

"stale" in him.

Touchstone is representative of the most perfect development of wit in Shakespeare-clearer and brighter than the earlier attempts, human and hearty, complex and manysided. His companion-clown, Feste, has the same characteristics, but is distinguished by a difference in temperament; his youth is never lost sight of, and a certain fineness of texture is evident in his thought and in his wit, that makes him seem truly "fancy's child" Like Touchstone, Feste has a cool, level head, and keen perception, which with him amount to intuitions; like Touchstone, too, he stands somewhat alor, "out of reach both of chance and of the passions which are at work throughout the play." Finally, Feste is like Touchstone and Launcelot in the part he bears in the plot, - that is the part typical of the fools of this period. The fool's importance in the plot is not a mechanical device making him the carrier of a missent letter, or anything of the sort; but it consists in the vital interpretative relation in which he stands to the plot characters, and the philosophic insight which he has into their lives.

Milton " & Pensexoro"

Gervinus p, 437

+ , 7

S .III

"I lave trod a measure: I have flattered a lady: I have been politic with my friend, smooth with mine encry: I have madene three tailors: I have had four quarrels. and like to have fought onc... but... I durst up no further than the 'Lie Circonistantial,' now upo durst out give me the 'Lie Circonistantial,' now upo durst out give me the 'Lie Circonistantial,' now who would not give me

weak spots in the vorm-out cant of chivalry, in his take
"of a certain might, that swore by his homorar they were
good pancates, and ... the mustard was maught; now... the
pancates were naught and the gustard was good, and yet
was not the might forsworn, ... swearing by his homour. Com
he never had any; or, if he had, he had sworn it away
for a piece with this audacity is his ridicale of love itbefore ever he say those pancakes or that mustard." And
self, which he conferses "grows something stale with me."
The laughs at Orlando's "false gallop of verses" thyming
Rosalind's name, which he parodies in a sublime strain of
nonsense: "I'll rhyme you so eight years tarether, dinners
and suppers and sleeping hours excepted," he prime. "I
remember whom I was in love, " he ra lies on in a dreal
remember whom I was in love, " he ra lies on in a dreal
wost disustrously, in defense of lane smiles; and gove her
two peascods, saying "with weaping tears, 'wear these for
two peascods, saying "with weaping tears, 'wear these for
the sighs, little guessing how soon he is himsel" be prove
the sighs, it is a lease thue inverse run into strange capers,"
the statement! It is a hard question whather his low row
after with a deased sense that she is his best jete."

A poor viryin, sir, an all-favenced tring, sir, but mine
his wife with a leased sense that she is his best jete."

A poor viryin, sir, an all-favenced tring, sir, but and
will." by own onlinen is that, if this is a jet. Touchown; a poor manour of mine, sir, to taic tho was I would
stone has for once lost his balance and carried a jete too
rather plature him as drawing him with; and I would
stone has for once lost his balance and carried a jete too
breath of sourthing that anthens the human emothers grown
"shale" in him.
"The lot in the."

volopment of wit in Shalespeare -clearer and brighter than
the earlier uthempts, human and hearty, empley and manysided. His emparion-clown, weste, has the same characteristics, but is distinguished by a difference in temperistics, but is distinguished by a difference in temperament; his youth is never lost sight of, and a certain
Themess of texture is evident in his thought and in his
wit, that makes him seem truly "Fancy's child! Live Touchatone, Feste has a gool, level head, and hern nerception,
which with him amount to intuitions; like Touchstone, tho,
be stands sowewhat alos, "out of reach both of chance and
of the passions which are at work throughout the play."
Finally, Feste is like Touchstone and jauneslot to the
part he hears to the plot, — that is the part typical of
the foots of this period. The Cooks importance is the part of
the foots of this period. The Cooks importance is the play
is not a mechanical device raising him the carrier of a
missout letter, or anything of the sont: but it consists
in the vital interpretative relation in which he stands to
the plat characters, and the shilosephic insight which he

Gerrinus

The characters of Malvolio, Toby, and Sir Andrew are shown first in their right light through Feste, and the hidden weaknesses of no less persons than Olivia and the Duke are unerringly pricked by the delicate rapier of his wit. Duke Orsino, the melancholy but all too fickle lover, pines for the music that is "the food of love", and so Feste gives him, first a song after his mood-

"Come away, come away, Death,...
I am slain by a fair cruel maid!"-

and then a bit of wholesome truth- "Now, the melancholy god protect thee; and the tailor make thy doublet of changeable taffeta, for thy mind is a very opal!" And as for Olivia, her reckless young buffoon touches a no less sensitive spot than her morbid, exaggerated mourning for her brother, in a bit of word-play that brought him out of disfavour into her approval again. Olivia is clearly fastidious as to the quality of her fool's wit, and when he grows "dry" she will none of him.

"Olivia- Take the fool away, gentlemen.

Clown- Lady, cucullus non facit monachum: that's as much to say as, I wear not motley in my brain. Good madonna, give me leave to prove you a fool.... Good madonna, why mourn'st thou?

Olivia- Good fool, for my brother's death.
Clown- I think his soul is in hell, madonna.
Olivia- I know his soul is in heaven, fool!
Clown- The more fool, madonna, to mourn for
's soul being in heaven.- Take away the fool

your brother's soul being in heaven .- Take away the fool, gentlemen!"

The character of Feste is at once normal and inspired. His motives are those of a perfectly rational man; Mr. Townsend proves him "the only perfectly sane person in the play, with the possible exception of Antonio;" but there is a certain transcendent quality about his whimsical wit and tender poetry that lifts him beyond the level even of an intellectual genius. His wholesome sanity is proved no less by his brilliant part in the joke on Malvolio than by his helping the unfortunate steward to freedom when the joke had gone far enough. It is noticeable in Shakespeare that the Fools are never the ones who carry a joke too far. Then, too, his very fooling, when artistically done, "craves a kind of wit;

He must observe their mood on whom he jests... And, like the haggard, check at every feather That comes before his eye. This is a practice As full of labor as a wise man's art."

Feste's wit is of the rarest and most inimitable charm. It shows less reasoning power than Touchstone's, but it is more artless, exquisite, and graceful. His comical, childish begging, his gay camaraderie with the revellers, his fearless satire, his scintillating banter and whimsical buffoonery - from such a quip as "Many a good"

9, 1

II, 4

I. 5

III, 1

I, 5

The characters of Malvolio, Toby, and Sir Andrew are shown first in their right light through Feste, and the hidden weaknesses of no less persons than Olivia and the Duke are unerringly pricked by the delicate rapier of his wit. Duke Orsino, the melancholy but all too fickle lover, pines for the music that is "the food of love", and so Feste gives him, first a song after his mood-

"Come away, come away, Death
I am slain by a fair cruel maid:"-

and then a bit of wholesome truth- "Now, the melancholy god protect thee; and the tailor make thy doublet of changeable taffets, for thy mind is a very opal!" And as for Olivia, her reckless young buffoon touches a no less sensitive spot than her morbid, exaggerated mourning for her brother, in a bit of word-play that brought him out of disfavour into her approval again. Olivia is clearly fastidions as to the quality of her food's wit, and when he grows "dry" she will none of him.

"Olivia- Take the fool away, gentlemen.
Clown- Lady, cucultus non facit monachum: that's
as much to say as, I wear not motley in my brain. Good
madenna, give me leave to prove you a fool.... Good madenna,
why mourn'st thou?

Olivia- Good fool, for my brother's death.
Clown- I think his soul is in helt, madonns.
Clivia- I know bis soul is in heaven, fool.
Clown- The more fool, madonna, to mourn for your brother's soul being in heaven.- Take away the fool, mentlemen!"

The character of Weste is at once normal and inspired. His motives are those of a perfectly rational man; Mr. Townsend proves him "the only perfectly same person in the play, with the possible exception of Antonio;" but there is a certain transcendent quality about his whimsical wit and tender poetry that lifts him beyond the level even of an intellectual genius. His wholesome sanity is proved no less by his brilliant part in the joke on Malvello than by his helping the unfortunate steward to freedom when the joke had gone far enough. It is noticeable in Shakespeare that the fools are never the ones who carry a joke too far. Then, too, his very fooling, when artistically done, "craves a

He must observe their mood on whom he jests. And, like the haggard, check at every feather That comes before his eye. This is a practice As full of labor as a wise man's art."

Feste's wit is of the rarest and most inimitable charm. It shows less reasoning power than Touchstone's, but it is more artless, exquisite, and graceful. His comtast childish begging, his gay camaraderie with the revelters, his fearless satire, his scintillating banter and whimsical buffconery - from such a quip as "Many a good

...

. .

III, I

8 . I

III, 1

hanging prevents a bad marriage," or "Dost thou live by thy tabor? - No, sir, I live by the church," to a brilliant piece of audacity like his impersonation of Sir Topas the curate, adminstering comfort in hog-Latin to Malvolio and then ceremoniously bidding himself goodbye in Malvolio's hearing, his fund of humor is inexhaustible and of "infinite variety-" And when this genius is surrounded by the soft light of lyric fervour, and becomes poet as well as philospher, pouring forth with equal sympathy a rollicking "catch," a heart-rending love moan, or a dainty youth - and-love carol in his golden tenor voice, - then, in truth, he becomes well-nigh irresistible! Passionless, fanciful, exquisite, he dances through the "riot of mad mirth," a figure of inexpressible charm.

Ant. & Cleo. II, 2

"What is love? 'tis not hereafter;
Present mirth hath present laughter;
What's to come is still unsure;
In delay there lies no plenty,

In delay there lies no plenty,
Then come kiss me, sweet-and twenty,Youth's a stuff will not endure!"

II, 3

hanging speading a bad marriage," or "Boat thou live by the tabor? - No. air. I live by the shurch," to a harilist of steem of suducity like his importantiation of Sir "some the curate, siminatering comfort in hos-latin to "sirvious and then coremonicusly indditor himself soothys it helvelie a hearing, his fund of humor is insxipuntiable and of "in-finite variaty-" and when this genius is surrounded by the soft light of lyric fervour, and becomes post as well as "illospher, pouri g forth with sound synathy a molitarior "catch," a heart-rending love man, or a detain youth - "catch," a heart-rending love man, or a detain youth truth, he becomes well-min irremistible! Dassinhose, fanctul, exquisite, in dance through the "rict of mad "truth," a figure of inequassific charge.

What is low ? 'Lis not heresiter:
Precent with buth present laughter:
What's to come is still posure:
In delay there lies or plenty:
Then do to is a swiet-and hearly.
Venty's stuff will not endure:"

8 JOS

S ,II

T TT

When we turn to the Fools in tragedy, we find that several of them are much less important than we might expect, judging from the high point of perfection which they have reached in the latest comedies. Pompey, in "Measure for Measure", is a petty villain of the most shameless type, engaged as a debased tool in the only profession so low that the hangman's trade, to which Pompey turns at last, is preferable to it, and aiding in the drama merely as an expression of the monstrous immorality in which the city is reeking. His mirth-provoking quality, such as it is, lies more in blundering clownery, as in the telling of his side of Elbow's story, than in anything like wit. The term "Iniquity", used by Escalus to Pompey in this scene, is, I think hardly as valuable a support of the Vice theory of descent

as has been often claimed. Such detached quotations as this, may, like Feste's "I will impeticos thy gratility", become quite clear when read in their context, and may exonerate Shakespeare from the responsibility of many so-called deep and obscure allusions. The words of Escalus in the first scene of Act II are "Which is wiser here - Justice, or Iniquity?" and the personifications are both natural references to the professions represented in Elbow, the constable, and Pompey himself.

Boult, in "Pericles", is another character from Pompey's own underworld, who is in no way worthy of the title "Fool", or of being included in the same gallery even with the meanest of these others. Pompey does at least show himself passably comic in two scenes in his play, and is absolutely harmless all the way through; but Boult combines with utter dullness, a sinister aspect that is quite unparalleled in any of Shakespeare's characters of his kind. To me, there is no stronger argument against the authenticity of this whole unskilful play than the utterly un-Shakespearian figure of Boult.

In "Othello", in "Antony and Cleopatra" and in "Hamlet", the fool appears as a mere glimpse, always with a value depending on emotional and interpretative effect. None of them has any connection with the plot that can be analysed, but the presence of each of them, for his brief flash of time, is strongly felt. Othello's attendant clown appears only twice, once to help the unlucky Cassio to see Desdemona, and once to help Desdemona to an interview with Cassio; a curious parallelism that, in view of the fatal significance of both meetings, cannot have unintended by the Poet. In "Antony and Cleopatra", the "Clown" - a country bumpkin with a blundering unconscious wit - brings to Cleopatra the "pretty worm of Nilve" that is her instrument of swicide

with a blundering unconscious wit - brings to Cleopatra the "pretty worm of Nilus" that is her instrument of suicide.
"His biting", says the clown, "is immortal; those that do die of it do seldom or never recover." In "Hamlet", the mention of the Fool is similarly juxtaposed to the tragic climax, for it is in the famous Gravediggers' Scene, between the pathetic death and melodramatic funeral of Ophelia, that the melancholy Prince of Denmark mourns over the remains of his childhood's friend, "the king's jester".

-"Alas, poor Yorick! - I knew him, Horatio; a fellow of

II, 1

III, 1

III, 4

V, 2

V, 1

captered of them are mader best in transact, we find their are neveral of them are mader best incomed and there we might are past, judying from the little nodes of neededies which are invere resourced in the latest consists. Invest, in "Headware for an element type, and the beaugest at a decimal to it is an element to an element of the beaugest at a which little from the transaction and the them the beaugest to it, and should be the latest to an extransaction of the montainers in the decimal to all an endance of the montainer quality, and to all the latest are also at a standard in the montainer quality, and the latest and all the latest and latest and the latest and all latest and all latest and all latest and the latest and all latest and the reached and of and the reaches and the parameters and the reachest and the parametric in the reachest and the parametric are madely and the parametric and the parametric and the parametric and the parametric and latest and the constitution are both addition of the first latest and the parametric and latest and the parametric and latest and late

Boult, in "Perioles", is amonier olaracter from tone pay a own underworld, who is in no way worthy of the title pays of the title "Fool", or of helms included in the came sullery ever also the meanest of these others. Homosy does no lever stow clasself passably corio in two sorves in sin play, and in electrically herniese all the may through: but foult combines with utter duliness, a simister serset that is outto not paralleled in any of hakespears's obstractors of his link, in any of hakespears's obstractors of his link, in any of hakespears's obstractors of his link of the unable of the theory un-sistems.

In "Othello", in "Aniony and Cleopalra" and in "Heriet" the fool appears as a more plinter, simps with a wellstone formedly on emotional and interpretative effect. Howe of that he any connection with the relational car in analyses, the presence of sach of them, for his brief flant of the presence of sach of them, for his brief flant of the , is abrongly fell of the flant of the , once to help lie maluosy flassic to see Hostarone, and once to help Desdamons to at interview with flassic to curious assallation that, in view of the fatel similicance of both meetings, and the view of the fatel similicance of both meetings, the "distributed of the fatel similicance in the fatel similar the "Antony of Cleopatra", the "diswall - n country burnish with a blundering unquescious att - brings to Cleopatra tip with a blundering that is the instrument of suicide. The fills billing", says the similaring meeting of it do said to the tractor of the office of the tractor of the tractor of the tractor of the office of the tractor of the tractor of the office of the tractor of the tractor of the office of the tractor of the office of the tractor of the tractor of the office of the tractor of the tractor of the office of the of

IIII, O

3 ."

T

infinite jest, of most excellent fancy: he hath borne me on his back a thousand times... Here hung those lips, that I have kissed I know not how oft. Where be your gibes now? your gambols? your songs? your flashes of merriment that were wont to set the table in a roar?"-

The effect of this heart-touching reminiscence is to bring up in a flash the whole homely, genial atmosphere of the Danish court in the old days, under the wise and kindly rule of the father that Hamlet so adores; and the chief pathos of Hamlet's situation is in that dead past, and the terrible contrast with the present, that leaves him such a lonely.

helpless figure.

Thersites in "Troilus and Cressida", and Apemantus in "Timon of Athens", are in a sense companion characters. They do not, like the last few clowns, surprise us by their comparative unimportance, but just the reverse. They are constantly before us, and may be said almost to play the part of the Chorus, by commenting upon almost every stage of the action. Scene after scene of "Troilus" opens with Thersites, the crooked, foul-mouthed dwarf, hurling coarse invective at everyone and analysing the "whole argument" of the Trojan

II, 3 everyone and analysing the "whole argument" of the Trojan war down to its lowest terms with unanswerable and repulsive V, 4 definiteness "Now they are clapper-clawing one another... that dissembling abominable variet, Diomed, has got that same scurry doting foolish young knave of Troy's sleeve

same scurry doting foolish young knave of Troy's sleeve there in his helm... O' the other side, the policy of those crafty swearing rascals, - that stale old mouse-eaten dry cheese, Nestor, and that same dog-fox Ulysses - is not

II, l proved worth a blackberry" - and so forth, ad nanseam.
His intentions are evidently good, from his words, "I shall
...rail thee into wit and holiness"; and his fool's immuni-

II, 3 ties are clear from Achilles's calm defense of him - "He is a privileged man. - Proceed, Thersites". - although Achilles seems to be the only one of the "heroes" who has self-control enough to abide the dwarf's sharp tongue.

Apemantus is a snarler of a slightly less unpleasant type than Thersites, for he is distinctly a would-be reformer, possessed of an idea - which happens also to be the theme of the play - and losing no opportunity of jeering at the gay hollowness by which he is surrounded. Like a spectre he sits at the banquet, "opposite to humanity", interlarding the

chorus of adulation and mirth with his caustic comments on the criminal senselessness of it all. Bitter, brutal, and vulgar, he yet strikes at the heart of the whole parastic whirl in such stinging words as

-"So many dip their meat in one man's blood;"

-"I wonder men dare trust themselves with men;"
or -"Like madness is the glory of this life....
We make ourselves fools to disport ourselves...
I should fear, those that dance before me now
Would one day stamp upon me."

These all are the product of Shakespeare's bitter time of life. The clowns are typical of the plays in which they appear - scornful, brutal, ironic is the breath of them, and

I, 1

I, 2

99

'affinite jest, of most excellent fancy: he hath borns me on his book a thousand times... Here hare those lips, that I have kiesed I know not how oft. Where he your gibes now? your embols? your somes" your flashes of merriment that were wont to set the table in a roar?"-

The effect of this heart-touching reminiscence is to bring up in a flash the whole homely, genial structures of the Danish court in the old days, under the wise and bindly rule of the father that Hamlet so shorer; and the chief pathos of Hamlet's situation is in that dead past, and the terrible contract with the present, that leares him such a lonely,

helpless floure.

"Timen of Athene", are in a sense companies characters. They do not, like the last few clowns, surprise us by their companative unimportance, but just the reverse. They are constantly before us, and may be said almost to play the part of the Chorus, by commenting upon almost every stars of the action. Scene after scene of "Troilus" opens with Thereites, the crooked, foul-mouthed dwarf, burling coanse invective at everyors and analysing the "whole argument" of the Troise war down to its lowest terms with unsnewerable and repulsive definiteness "Now they are clapper-claving one another...

that dissembling abominable variet, Diomed, has not that there in his beim... O' the other side, the policy of those coaft, swearing rascals, - that atale old rouge-eaten dry charges. Wester, and instructed one-for Uneses. - is not

II, II

proved worth a blankberry" - and ac forth, at manacat.
His intentions are swidently good, from his words. "I shall
...rail thes into wit and holiness"; and his fool's tempnities are clear from Achiles's sain defense of ids - "He is
a privileged ran. - Fraceed, Thereites". - although Adliller
seems to be the only one of the "horbes" wio has self-cor-

trol enough to abide the dwarf's sharr tongue.

type than Thorestee, for he is distinctly a would-be reformer cossessed of an idea - which happens also to he the theme of the risy - and losing no opportunity of jeering at the cay hellowness by which he is surrounded. Like a spectre he stend the hamquit, "opposite to humanity", interlanding the clorus of adulation and might with his daughts comments on the criminal senselesgress of it all. Mitter, howhel, and wulgar, he yet strikes at the hears of the whole paraetic will in such stinging nords as

SAI

-"Bo many din their mest in one nur's blood:"
-"I wonder men dere trust therselves with men:
r -"Like madness is the glory of this life...
"e make ourselves fools to disport ourselves...
I should fear, those that dance before me now
"endd one day stamm uton me."

These all are the product of Shakespeans's bitter time of iffe. The clowns are tyrical of the plays in which they arear - scornful, brutel, ironic is the breath of them, and

the jesting in them is coarse and strong with the strength of a rough, ugly, disgusting, but perfectly honest brute. It is only because of the greater poetic quality of the whole handling of "King Lear" that the Fool in that play is not a second Thersites or Apemantus. The divine touch that transfigured Feste rests now upon a purely tragic Fool.

en.V,II,3

The death of Falstaff, with his heart "killed" by the king, was the first real mingling of humor and pathos achieved by Shakespeare, and although the effect extended only through a few speeches and one episode, it was wonderfully telling. That mingling is now embodied in the Fool of "Lear" - an entire character of humorous-pathetic elements - an experiment in dramatic irony developed to its most intense pitch. There is something super-natural and symbolic about him; we would be shocked to see him joking with grooms in the court-yard; he seems to be a spirit with a mission, a voice direct from the Creative Purpose of the play. "Just because the fool is not fully understood."

Scholdererthe play. "Just because the fool is not fully understood by any of his fellow-actors, he is essential to the full comprehension of the play by the audience; and the burden of tragic irony thus laid upon him singles him out for a

peculiar position among Shakespeare's fools."

Like Apemantus, this Fool is possessed by one idea, glorified beyond the level of a mere conviction by the personal devotion by which it is inspired. To Lear and to the kind Cordelia the poor jester clings with utter absorption; so that he is actually broken down in health by their fatal quarrel; so that he harps feverishly on the one string of regret for the loss of her; so that he follows the unhappy king to the very gates of madness and death, translating with keen-eyed ferocity every threatening omen into a song or jest that throbs with its burden of warning. Bitter, merciless truth lies under his desperate jesting, and the element of retribution that constitutes the play's poetic justice is made clear to us from his lips.

A pretty theory that the Fool is Cordelia in disguise is undoubtedly on outgrowth of the feeling that the heroine is otherwise too little before us on the stage, aided by the fact that the Fool appears only after the lady's departure, and disappears before she returns, so that the disguise would be mechanically possible. The latter arrangement is possibly due to the prosaic exigencies of the acting force at Shakespeare's command - that is, simply a device by which both parts might be acted by the same sweetvoiced lad; while the first-mentioned objection is itself too prosaic for the poetic heights on which we are journeying. Cordelia's presence throughout the play is none the less real because her body is in France - she breathes to us in the inspired warblings of her beloved Fool, and gazes at us out of the dumb, honest eyes of Kent, and we feel continually the closeness of her spirit to Lear's tortured mind. When he groans suddenly, "I did her wrong" - although he has been speaking of Regan, and although the Fool swiftly changes the subject with a shaft of random nonsense that has no answer - "Canst tell how an oyster makes his shell?"-

I, 5

46

the jesting in them is coarse and strong with the strength of a rough, univ. Singusting, but perisoily honset brute. It is only because of the greater restin ounlity of the whole handling of "King sear" that "he had in that play is not a second Thereitee or Appearitye. The divine touch that that the trend for a period when a surely trend fool.

The leads of Falstern', thinking heart "killed" by the

king, was the first real ningling of immer and nations and objected by Shakespears, and altrough the effect extended orly through a few aspective and one episods, it was wonderfully tality talitum. That nimiting is now embodied in the Hool of "Lear" - an entire operacies of atmorphism that the desire the most intense pitch. "here is something super-natural and symbolic about him; we would be shooked to see him joking with grooms in the count-jand; he shooked to be a pirit

with a mission, a voice direct land the creative rather or tribe play. "That because the fool is not fully understood by any of his fellow-actors, he is a specific to the full comprehension of the play by the suddences and the hurden of tragic frony thus isid woon his singles lie out for a

sculiar preition among Shakespear 's roots."

plantified beyond the level of a mene conviction by the paraonal devotion by which it is institut. To lear end to the kind Cordelia the noor jester client with utter shader tion; so that he is actually broken down in health by their fatal quarral; so that he harry along the beauth by their of regret for the loss of her; so that he follows the unharry wing to the very gates of redness and death, translating with keen-eyed fercetty every threatening over into a some or jest that there with its winder of warning.

Bitter, menciless truth lies under his desperate jesting, and the slement of retribution that constitutes the nley's postic justice is made the nley's

A questy theory that the Fool is Cordells in disculed is undoubtedly on outgrowth of the feeilnr that the Peroine is otherwise too little before us on the stars, sided hy the Fact that the Fool spream only after the lady's departure, and disappears before she returns, so that the discussion would be madianteally possible. The latter apraneament is possibly due to the ordering exigencies of the action force at Shakespears's command - that is, simply a device by which both parts mint be acted by the same sweetwing by which both parts mint be acted by the same sweetwork and the first-mentioned objection is itself too prossit for the positio heirits on which we are journeyton to prossit for the positio heirits on which we are journeyton to the dumb, boused the risk and proshes to star out of the dumb, boused eyes of lent, and as feel entire, and as feel which we have been appared as the proses of her entire to their actions and strong the has been appared at he proses suddenly. "I did her where" - although the has been appared with a shelf of randow noneers that has no prever - "Great with a shelf of randow noneers that he although has no prever - "Great with a shelf of randow noneers that he letter and although has been appared that has a coster takes its aller."

•

sabbleders

B .I

yet what one of us but knows with stabbing certainty that he means Cordelia?

It is unlikely that the new-wedded Queen of France could have been spared so long, or that her husband would let her risk a repetition of such a scene as he had witnessed at the "love-test". Moreover, she could gain nothing by such a spectacular play, except information of the king's welfare, which she would have acted upon earlier, if she had had it. She was a girl of action, not words, and would have sent for her troops before Lears's mind was completely dethroned, instead of harping on the sore subjects in song and jest, and hastening the ruin. Again, the difficulty is doubled by the fact that she must pass herself off as a fool whom Lear has long known and loved - a task for a "make-up artist" of considerable ability. No, the Fool is not a good fairy with power in his hands; he is helphess and grief-stricken before this terrible disaster.

Is he a boy or a man? Oh, science, science: - to apply psychology tests and search the syllables of speeches upon speeches to discover the age of a disembodied spirit! Very well, then; but first let us count out such extracts from I, 4; III 2 the play as "my pretty knave", "my boy", and so on, for such conventional phrases are no more basis for proof than "nuncle" is a claim of relationship. If the Fool must be put into human shape, his breadth of sympathy, depth of feeling, and unfailing self-forgetfulness could only spring, rationally, from a long and perhaps bitter experience. But some transcendent element - some glimmer of the supernatural - cannot be denied him. He is a kinsman to the lightning, and to the "wind and the rain" of heaven. Per-III, 2 (& Tww. Night haps a strange, sensitive youth, inspired beyond his years epilogue) with keen intuition and a pitiless wit that can burn, in hopes of healing, a wounded heart; or else a man in mind, heart, and soul, with will and body dwarfed by long exercise of wits alone, so as to be practically incapable of efficient action.* But why explain? - why analyze? Let

There is a timidity about him that sets him aside from other Fools - what Hudson calls "a shrinking, velvet-footed delicacy" in everything except his one theme of Lear's fatal mistake. He does not ever mention Cordelia's name,

us be willing to take him as he is, - straight into our own hearts, not by way of the "seat of reason", - love him while we have him, and when he disappears with a jest on his pale lips, never have the impertinence to ask whither he has gone.

II, 381

^{* &}quot;Not yet old enough for a man, nor young enough for a boy" - Twelfth Night I, 5.

yet where one of us but knows with stabiling our saint that the rease Cordelis?

It is unlikely that the new-medded Ousen of Brance could have been apared so long, or that her hishard would let her risk a renetiblon of much a scene as he had withcees at the "tove-test". Moreover, and could gain nothing by such a spectacular miny, except information of the king's welfare, which she would have acted upon sarlier, if she had bed it. She was a girl of action, not words, and would have sent for her troops before bears's mind was cornistally dathroned, instead of harring or the sort subjects in sort and jest, and harranty the subject and appropriate the west personal of service or the sort subject in the foother by the fact that was much pass herself off as a fool and whom lear has long known and loved - a test for a "rake-up artist" of considerable ability. No, the Foother tot a foother-root fairy with power in his bander he in helphest and grief-

Is he a boy or a rent the apliables of apeaches agon perchase to discover the use of a discoveried apon apeaches to discover the use of a discoveried epitit! Very wall, chem; but first let us dount out out even error. From wall, chem; but first let us dount out out even error for the risk as on, for auch conventional thrases are no more basts for grow the rout conventional thrases are no more basts for grow the runcis is a slaim of relationship. If the feel was be not into maman share, his breath of appathy, deth of relationally, from a long and merhane bitter experience. But restonally, from a long and merhane bitter experience. But natural - and ot be desired him. He is a kingram to the assert and the rain of the surer-natural, and to the "with the that can intuition and a pititles wit that can turn, in home of houldness of houldness of houldness as a pititless wit that can turn, in home of houldness of houldness as as as a se to restonally incorable of heart, and soul, with will and body dwarfed by long exernings to alone, so as to be practically incorable of heart, and soul, with will and body dwarfed by long exernings to take the stilling to take him as be allied to take him as the first of the impertinence to set afficient is how our own line, and when he had sone is disappears with a feet on him while the house for how the intention to take him as the first of the impertinence to set whitten is him as the from alless that that eats him as the from other fooded. There he a timidity about him that eats him as the from other fooded.

ISS 'II

E IIIII , I

[&]quot;Not yet ald enough for a ren, nor woung erough for a May" - Twelfth Night I, F.

I, 4 and only once, I think, refers to her at all, as "the third of his daughters". He does not even come in until the king expressly sends for him, - we do not see him warning Lear beforehand, as Apemantus crudely does, and hence he never drops a word of "I told you so", the one insuffers able offence. His jests do probably add to the burden that bears the king's sanity down under its weight, just as Edgar's feigned madness does; and thus he injures the very one he would give his life to save; but I think silence, too, and the evident forced restraint of Kent, who would not say a word when he could not speak his mind, must have unhinged Lear's mind just as surely.

I. 4

But the real raison d'etre of this Fool is the sheer overpowering pathos of the dramatic effect, and the irresistible floods of sympathy which his hand controls, from the time when we hear that "since my young lady's going into France, the fool hath much pined away", to his last jest,

as he realizes that the world is too much awry for any hope - "And I'll go to bed at noon." How infinitely more III, 6 poignant is the picture of Lear followed by this poorest of his former train than would have been the picture of Lear alone! - how very near is our laughter to tears, and the "climbing sorrow" in our throats, at the efforts of this poor being to be all in all to the proud, passionate king! - how heart-breaking are his brave little jests, each with a timid truth striving to make its way to the clouding brain of his master! And most pitiful of all is the utter hopelessness of it - the ineffectualness of this poor Fool. He does nothing, less than nothing; his most dauntless efforts only hasten that very ruin that he toils to avert. He is the essence of unquestioning devotion, of futile self-sacrifice. His life is crushed out as carelessly as that of a butter-V, 3 fly beating its poor little wings against the bars of "this

fly beating its poor little wings against the bars of "this tough world". He disappears, and is never mentioned again and after the passing of his spirit the play is no more pathos, but pure horror.

I, 4

and only once, I think, refere to her at all, an "the chird of his fauchters". He does not area core in until the line extressly sands for him, - we do not see his warning that hear heforehand, as apprentice condent does, and heads to mean drops a word of "I told you en", the continuationable offence. His jeste do probably add to the burden that hears the king's sanity down under its weight, just as Edgar's feigned madress does and thus in injures the cary one he would give his life to save; but I thin tary one he would give his life to save; but I thin tho would not say a word when he could not speak his rind, must have unbinned hear's mind just as earsly.

the floods of sympathy which his band controls, from the firm when re hear that "since my yours lady's colon into time when re hear that "since my yours lady's colon into trance, the fool hath ruen pines awsy", to his last jest, hope - "Ind I'll go to bed at soon." How infinitely more as polaries that the picture of Lear Tollqwas by this prorest of his former train than would have been the picture of Lear slone; - how very near is our laughter to teers, and the "climbian sornew" in our throats, at the efforts of this poor being to be all in all to the moul, pausionst a this poor being to be all in all to the moul, pausionst a this poor being the striving to make its way to the alouding with a timid touth striving to make its way to the alouding with a timid touth striving to make its way to the alouding hopelessness of it - the includence of this poor factors. But the their country hasten that very ruin that we thill to evert. Be a life if a does nothing, less than nothing, of further that we tried a self-escrifice. The period of the country world on a corolessing as that of a lotter seasons of unquasting that we corolessing as that of a lotter seasons of unquasting the wings areinst the bars of "this life it or or only hasten that very ruin that we this to evert. Be a lotter seasons world". He disappears, and is never mentioned under the passing of his corolessing the passing of his spirit the passing of his spirit the missioned under the passing of his spirit the missioned under the passing of his spirit the missioner.

8 , 7

After Shakespeare, the real fool, in cap and bells and motley, disappeared from the stage; Beaumont and Fletcher never used him, Massinger never, Ben Jonson only occasionally and without distinction. But this does not mean that he had been proved a failure. The zenith of the fool's popularity in real life had passed, long before Shakespeare dropped him from "dramatis personae"; the type of fool that was common in town-hall and tavern was a figure of no such romantic appeal as the court jester of the older days, and even those later classes of domestic fools were becoming constantly more rare. The passing of the stage fool, then, did not mean that humor was unappreciated, or that people were going back to the old idea that pure comedy was a kind of writing to which the serious literary artist must not stoop. It meant simply that the "motley fool" was yielding his place to other comic characters, and that stage humor was undergoing a process of development.

The Foolsof Shakespeare are embodiments of the most wholesome type of humor that had yet made its way onto the stage, and have paved the way for all stage comedy since their time. To be sure, some eighteenth-century critics, like Rowe and Gildon, have lamented his use of comedy in tragedy - Gilden says," this Absurdity ... is what our Shakespeare himself has been guilty of ... for want of a thorough Knowledge of the Art of the Stage". Rowe says "the severer Critiques among us cannot bear it": and Theobald tries to attribute it to "the reigning Barbarism of Shakespeare's times"! But these words do not indicate the attitude of the real theatre-going public, as the "Critiques" themselves confess with grief. It is only a question of time when the dramatic critics attain to the clearness of vision that resides in the "gallery-gods". Then the plays of Shakespeare are "restored" to their original beauty, the Fools are replaced, and the triumph over classicism is complete. The influence of Shakespeare is thus, in spite of

It has been said "man is the only animal that laughs and weeps, for he is the only animal that is struck with the difference between things as they are and things as they ought to be". Thus the reformer, with his eye on things os they ought to be, may work in two ways - with tears or with laughter, with a sermon or with a jest, with merciless didactics or with wholesome ridicule. It is not necessary to decide which method is in general the more effective, for there is no question as to which, if either, may succeed on the stage, and thus make the drama an influence for good. If ever the drama was an influence for good, it was in the Elizabethan time; if ever a poet and dramatist "followed the gleam" of high morality and steadfast ideals, that poet was Shakespeare; and he worked not only with tears, but All's wellwith laughter. "The web of our life is a mingled yarn, good

interpritions, the predominant one down to our very day.

All's wellwith laughter. "The web of our life is a mingled yarn, good IV, 3 and ill together; our virtues would be proud if our faults whipped them not".

And so, the Fools of the stage are still with us, in

"Works of Shakespeare" VII, p. ix-x. Article pub. 1702, quoted but inaccessible.

After Simiceneaue, the real fact, in act hells and motley, disappeared from the stage; desurcht and Fletaler never used him. Measinger never, Ben Jonson only occseionally and without distinction. But this does not ment that he had been proved a Influre. The semith of the flool's portionarity in real life had passed; the type of fool that display in town-hall and tween was a firme of no such romantic areal as the court jester of the older days, and romantic areal as the court jester of the older days, and even those later classes of domestic fools were becoming ourshantly more rate. The massing of the above fool, then, did not rear that humar was unamprecisted, or that meople did not rear that humar was unamprecisted, or that meople were sound has to the old ites that give comedy was a kind of writing to which the serious literary artist must not stoop. It meant simply that the "motley fool" was not stoop as a passe himor was undergoined a process of daysacters, and that

wholesons type of humor that had yet mide its way onto the stage, and have proved the way for all stame corody since their time. To be sume, some significantle-contary orition. Il's howe said allow, have laughted his use of comedy in trasedy - Gilden mays, this Almurdity... is what our aboverer of maself has been guilty of ... for want of a thorough knowledge of the art of the Stame". Howe says that or tries to sitrifute it to "the reigning Harbariam of hald tries to sitrifute it to "the reigning Harbariam of shakespears's times". But these words in not influste the stimume of the real that the real that a the "Critiques the time when the drumstin ratio of the artist of the time when the drumstin ration attain to the clearness of the when the drumstin ration attain to the clearness of the same are replaced, and the triumph over classicism is corplete. The influence of Shakespeare are "nestoned" to their original beauty, the plate, The influence of Shakespeare is thus, in apire of plate, The influence of Shakespeare is thus, in apire of interputions, the uniterputions, the plate, The influence of Shakespeare is thus, in apire of interputions, the uniterputions, the uniterputions of any to the uniterputions.

and weers, for he is the only animal that is struck with
the difference between things as they are and things as
they ought to be". Thus the reformer, with his eye on things
os they ought to be, may work in two ways - with tears or
with laughter, with a sermon or with a jest, with menciless
diductios or with wholesome midicule. It is not necessary
to didite which method is in general the more effective,
for there is no question as to wilch, if sither, may succeed
on the stars, and thus make the drame an influence for mod.
If ever the drame was an influence for mod, it was in the
Plizabethan time; if ever a poet and drametist "followed
the aleam" of high morality and steadfant ideals, that poet
the aleam" of high morality and steadfant ideals, that poet
and "wellwith laughter." The world not only with tears, but

with laughter. "The wob of our life is a minried yarm, good on ill together; our virtues would be proud if our faults

at .su date litte ave ename att in sloof edd .os bad

"moremeaned"
"axemeaned"
"x-xi .7 .11
.7 .10
.7 .10
.7 .7 .0
.7 .7 .0
.7 .7 .0
.7 .7 .0
.7 .7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0
.7 .0

Helbig "Open Court"

As You Like It II, 7

some form or other, - still holding the mirror up to nature with good-natured buffoonery. There we may see ourselves as others see us, with our passions, our weaknesses, our affectations, our prejudices, all mimicked in the guise of Folly; and "the stage will ever continue to be enriched by suggestions from life, for folly will never cease". This, Dec. 22, 1887 too, is as it should be - although Jaques has visions of success as a reformer in cap and bells -

"Invest me in my motley; give me leave To speak my mind, and I will through and through Cleanse the foul body of the infected world"yet the master Shakespeare, seeing life steadily and as a whole, surely seems not to have hoped or desired to cleanse the world of folly, for folly is the enduring characteristic and inalienable privilege of the human race. The Fool is dead - long live the Fool!

some form or piber, - soll briding the mirror up to nature with good-hatured buffcovery. There we may goe ourselved in others see us, with our nametons, our westmesses, our affectations, our projudices, all winteked in the pulse of Volly: and "the stage will over continue to be enriched by surgestions from life, for folly will never cease". This, too, is as it should be - although leques has visions of encourses as a reformer in map and belie -

"Towest on average policy; give we leave!"
"In appar my mind, and I will through and through

yet the master unairespone, ession life etendily and as a vincle, surely seems not to have mored or desired to alreans the world of folly, for folly to the endurine observateristic and inaltenable privilege of the human race. The Fool is dead - long live the Fool:

Bellis "Open Court" Dec. 22, 1887

NI SMIL UNI BA



NO.9R-28613 SPEEDWAY LOPE COVER

FOR SPEEDWAY FASTENER

MADE BY
SPEED PRODUCTS CO., INC.
LONG IBLAND CITY 1, N. Y., U. S. A.
PAT. NO. 2204095 OTHER PAT. PEND.

